

**LONDON BOROUGH OF HARINGEY
UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

**DOCUMENT 1
RESPONSE TO THE INSPECTOR'S REPORT - STATEMENT OF DECISIONS AND REASONS**

MARCH 2006

(Note: "IR Para" refers to the paragraph in the Inspector's Report)

STATEMENT OF DECISIONS AND REASONS

PART ONE STRATEGIC POLICIES

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
9 and 62	Paragraph 3.7	1.13	Inspector's Note: in paragraph 3.7 Objective 4 is missing.	Noted. Split Objective 3 in two so that "Ensure an adequate standard and range of housing especially affordable and accessible housing in order to meet current and future needs in the Borough" becomes Objective 4. As a consequence, modify paragraph 4.3 of the Housing Chapter.
	Paragraphs 2a.1-2a.4	1.33	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to add new paragraphs 2a.1-2a.4 to Part I should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 2.2	1.34	The Council's recommended change to add to paragraph 2.2 of Chapter 2 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.24	1.35 and 1.43	The Council's recommended changes in the RDUDP to paragraph 3.24 should be incorporated into the plan. [See also 1.165 and 1.175]	Agree.
	Paragraph 2.9	1.36	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to add a new paragraph after 2.9 should be incorporated into the plan	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.7	1.37	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to Objective 3 of paragraph 3.7 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 2.15	1.38	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to paragraph 2.15 of Part I should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.1	1.39	The Council's recommended changes in the	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			RDUDP to paragraph 3.1 of Part I should be incorporated into the plan.	
	Paragraph 3.13	1.40	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to Objective 23 in paragraph 3.13 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
179	Table 1 and Proposals Map	1.41	Table 1 should be modified by replacing Town Centre with Metropolitan Town Centre. Table 1 references to the Blue Ribbon Network – River Lee and Moselle Brook – should be identified by a modification of the Proposals Map.	Agree. Modify the designation in Table 1 as "Metropolitan Town Centre" (see Document 3, Table 1). Modify the Proposals Map to identify the River Lee and Moselle Brook. (see Document 3, Map Change 17).
3	Paragraph 2.10	1.42	The plan should be modified by replacing the "Local policies/strategies" title before paragraph 2.10 with "Community Strategy".	Agree. Modify the title of the section beginning paragraph 2.10 as "Community Strategy".
	Part II, Paragraph 3.16a	1.44	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to paragraph 3.16a of Part II should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
1 and 5	Paragraphs 2a.1-2a.4	1.45	The plan should be modified by explaining how and when the changes to the development plan system, set out in PPS12, will affect the HUDP in the "Legislative Context" section of Part I.	Agree. Modify paragraphs 2.a1-2a.4 to reflect the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and PPS12. As a consequence delete paragraph 3.2.
4	Paragraph 3.1	1.46	The abbreviation "etc" should be deleted from the 2nd sentence of paragraph 3.1 of the RDUDP.	Agree. Modify paragraph 3.1 as recommended.
	Paragraphs 1.1-3.4	1.47	No modifications to the plan should be made in relation to objections 8, 585, 1019, 1025, 1028, 1098, 1339, 1340, 1392, 1720, 1753, 2282, 2283, 2285, 100642, 100685, 100687, 101189, 101198, 101289 and 101502.	Agree.
	Policy G1	1.56	The Council's recommended change to	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			policy G1 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	
	Paragraph 3.5	1.57	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to Objective 1 in paragraph 3.5 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
26	Paragraph 3.6	1.58	The plan should be modified by explaining what it means by "inclusive design" in Objective 2, paragraph 3.6. The plan should be modified by adding this explanation to the Glossary	Partially agree. Modify the Development and Urban Design chapter by adding a paragraph after the guiding principles relating to inclusive design to read: "Inclusive Design Development proposals should incorporate the principles of inclusive design. Inclusive design creates an environment where everyone can access and benefit from the full range of opportunities available to members of society. It aims to remove barriers that create undue effort, separation or special treatment, and enables everyone to participate equally in mainstream activities independently, with choice and dignity."
	Objective 2	1.59	The Council's recommended change to Objective 2 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		1.60	No modifications should be made to the plan in relation to objections 1342, 1671, 1719 and 100688.	Agree.
7		1.76	Inspector note. It is not clear why "inclusive design" Objection 101202 was classified by the Council as relating to policy G2, rather than G1. It seems possible that there should have been a strategic policy for Design as G2, which would have followed Objective 2. The Design Objective is the only strategic objective not to be followed by a policy – no	Noted. Add a strategic design policy.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			reason is given for this anomaly.	
11 and 65	Policy G2	1.81	G2 should be modified. G2(a) housing sites in Schedule 1, including sites proposed for housing and other uses, should be listed and described in the reasoned justification following HSG1 in Housing Chapter 4. There should be a clear explanation of these sites and their housing capacity in this reasoned justification. G2(a) should be modified so that it refers to the paragraph number of this list of key housing sites. Schedule 1 requires further justification elsewhere in the HUDP in relation to the other proposals not connected to housing, such as employment and retail.	<p>Agree. List the sites in Schedule 1 with housing potential in a table following Policy HSG1 (see Document 3, Table 4.1).</p> <p>Modify paragraph 4.5a of the Housing Chapter to explain these sites and their housing capacity (see also Inspector's recommendation 4.56).</p> <p>Modify Policy G2(a) so that it refers to this list of sites.</p> <p>Explain Schedule 1. (see also Inspector's recommendation 13.12)</p>
11	Policy G2	1.82	The plan should be modified by the Council deciding what the appropriate temporary housing provision target figure for Haringey should be, and include this figure in G2, replacing the meaningless London wide annual target. In doing this, the Council should refer to the new development plan system and how and when the new DPDs would provide a more recent and accurate housing provision figure for Haringey. An alternative approach would be to include the recent Haringey housing target of 6,800 additional homes between 2007/8 and 2016/17 given in the draft Alterations to the London Plan, with the status of such a figure clearly explained. The approach adopted is for the Council to decide in consultation with the GLA. What is urgently	<p>Agree. Include the draft altered London Plan housing target for Haringey in Policy G2 and explain its status.</p> <p>As a consequence, modify paragraph 4.1a in the Housing Chapter. (see also Inspector's recommendation 4.11)</p> <p>As a consequence, modify G2 by deleting "This can be done through" and replacing with "This housing provision can be achieved through"</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			required is a borough housing target figure to guide the Council, the Government, the GLA, developers and residents when decisions on housing development are considered.	
	Objective 3	1.83	The Council's recommended change to Objective 3, paragraph 3.7 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		1.84	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 233, 1343, 1345, 1346, 1676, 1722, 100689, 100691, 101203, 101204, 101291 and 101506.	Agree.
	Policy G3	1.91	The Council's recommended change to G3 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
10 and 77	Objective 6	1.92	The Council's recommended change to Objective 6 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, with the words "good quality" deleted.	Agree. Modify Objective 6 as recommended. As a consequence, modify the first Key Objective in paragraph 5.6 of the Employment Chapter.
		1.93	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 1348, 100979, 101107, 101436, 101437 and 101472.	Agree.
		1.95	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 527 and 532.	Agree.
12	Policy G5	1.103	G5 should be modified by adding "aim to improve existing public transport provision, and .." after "The Council will...".	Agree. Modify Policy G5 as recommended.
12	Policy G5	1.104	The Council's recommended change to G5 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, with the full title of the new scheme accompanying the WARME abbreviation.	Agree. However, M1(f) on WARME is to be deleted (in response to recommendation 7.42) as there is no firm commitment for the scheme. This also applies to the Victoria Line extension. As a consequence, modify Policy G5 to remove "Victoria

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Line extension" and "WARME".
13 and 101	Objective 12	1.105	Objective 12 should be modified in the following way: "Reduce the need to travel by car and promote more sustainable transport choices for local residents and local businesses."	Agree. Modify Objective 12 as recommended. As a consequence, modify the second bullet point of the Key Objectives in the Movement Chapter. Delete the fifth bullet point (see Inspector's recommendation 7.12)
	Policy G5	1.106	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 1246 and 2173.	Agree.
15	Policy G6	1.115	G6 should be modified by deleting "strong" and "strategically" from the version of G6 set out in the RDUDP.	Agree. Modify Policy G6 as recommended.
	Objective 15	1.116	The Council's recommended change to Objective 15 set out in RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Objective 21	1.117	The Council's recommended change to Objective 21 set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		1.118	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 1056, 1757, 100693, 100694, 101109 and 101214.	Agree.
	Policy G7	1.121	The Council's recommended change to G7 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
16	Policy G7	1.122	G7 should be modified by the addition of "and metropolitan town and district centres" after "Tottenham Green".	Agree. Modify Policy G7 as recommended.
		1.125	No modifications should be made to the plan in relation to objections 402 and 674.	Agree.
	Objective 21	1.132	The Council's recommended change to Objective 21 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated in the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Objective 21	1.133	The Council's recommended change to the paragraph below Objective 21 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Policy G9a	1.134	The Council's recommended change – a new strategic policy G9a: Implementation – as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
18	Paragraphs 3.20 and 3.22	1.135	The Council's recommended changes to paragraphs 3.20 and 3.22 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, subject to a more consistent approach to the phrase "area's heritage/green spaces", "area's built heritage" and "area's heritage and green spaces" in both paragraphs 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24 and Map 2.	Agree. Modify paragraphs 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24 and Map 2 so that they use the consistent phrase "protecting the area's built heritage and green spaces". (see Document 3, Map Change 1)
		1.136	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objection 235.	Agree.
		1.138	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 100697 and 101221.	Agree.
180	Table 1	1.143	Inspector note: The fourth box in Table 1 may need further modification. If it is supposed to be about Town Centres it should have Metropolitan Town Centre as the Designation. If it is supposed to be about Areas for Regeneration, then this should appear in the Designation box. As there is no evidence as to why this box was selected and what message it was supposed to convey, I can comment no further.	Agree. Modify the designation in Table 1 as "Metropolitan Town Centre" – see Document 3, Table 1. See also Inspector's recommendation 1.41
2	Table 1	1.166	The plan should be modified by explaining	Agree. Explain and justify Table 1. Replace paragraph 2.5

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			and justifying the contents of Table 1. The source of the list should be mentioned and the reasons why such a list is tabled should be addressed.	to read: "The Strategy for the UDP will assist in delivering the overall strategy and overarching spatial policies of the London Plan. Table 1 sets out strategic designations in Haringey. Policies for specific areas and sites of strategic importance are set out in the Areas of Change Chapter."
181	Map 2	1.167	Map 2 should be modified so that the Haringey Heartlands boundary is consistent with the boundary on the Proposals Map. Map 2 should also be modified by an explanation in the reasoned justification as to how the different objectives apply in the overlapping boundaries.	Agree. The issue relates to Map Change 26 in RDUDP which proposed minimal changes to the Haringey Heartlands boundary. Map 2 is consistent with these small boundary changes. Modify Map 2 to remove the circles and add cross-references to paragraphs 3.19, 3.21 and 3.23. (see Document 3, Map Change 1). Add a map of wards in Haringey (see Document, Map Change 2).
	Map 2 and paragraph 3.22	1.168	The Council's recommended changes to Map 2 Central Area and paragraph 3.22 in relation to "major visitor attractions" should be incorporated in the plan.	Agree.
182	Table 1	1.169	The plan should be modified by rewording Table 1 in relation to it connecting the words "surplus capacity" with "regeneration". The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to delete Tottenham District Centre from Table 1 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. The description for Wood Green Metropolitan Centre should refer to opportunities for retail growth. Therefore, modify the description to read: "This Metropolitan Centre has been identified as having opportunities for retail growth" – see Document 3, Table 1. See also Inspector's recommendation 1.41
	Paragraph 3.20	1.170	The Council's recommended changes in the RDUDP to paragraph 3.20 – the 2nd, 9th, 10th and 11th objectives – and paragraph 3.20 – 4th, 7th, 8th and 9 th objective - should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Paragraph 3.24	1.171	The Council's recommended changes in the RDUDP to paragraph 3.24 – the 8th objective – should be incorporated into the plan, subject to the conclusions in 1.175.	Agree. (See Inspector's recommendation 1.174)
19	Paragraph 3.26	1.172	The Council's recommended changes to G10 in paragraph 3.26 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, together with the addition of "paragraph 3.25" after paragraph 3.17.	Agree. Modify Policy G10 as recommended.
183	Map 2	1.173	The plan should be modified by the Central Area 6th bullet point in Map 2 reading "Managing a night time economy".	Agree. Modify Map 2 as recommended. (see Document 3, Map Change 1)
184	Map 2	1.174	Map 2 should be modified so that the Area boxes reflect the content of paragraphs 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24 as set out in the RDUDP, with the exception of the 8th objective for the Western Area – this should be replaced by the 6 th objective for the Western Area in Map 2.	Agree. Modify the Map 2 Area boxes so that they repeat the objectives in paragraphs 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24. Replace the 8 th objective for the Western Area with the 6 th objective for the Western Area in Map 2. (See Document 3, Map Change 1)
		1.75	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objections 588, 589, 591, 675, 1352, 1356, 1398, 1759, 100700, 101224 and 101513.	Agree.

AREAS OF CHANGE

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		1.3	No modifications should be made to the plan in relation to objections 1760, 101517, 101232 and 100703.	Agree.
20	AC1	1.30 and 1.38	The plan should be modified by deleting of the last sentence of AC1 and deletion of paragraph 1.8. The Council should also modify the plan by deleting all the paragraph numbers attached to all the policies and proposals in all the HUDP chapters in Part II.	Partially agree. Delete last sentence of AC1 but insert into reasoned justification. Delete all paragraph numbers attached to all policies and proposals in all chapters of the Plan.
	AC1(h)	1.31	The Council's recommended change to AC1(h) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
20 and 21	AC1(a)	1.32	AC1(a) should be modified by adding "and other uses" after "1,000 new homes". The reasoned justification following AC1 should be modified by adding an explanation as to how the 1,000 new homes figure was assessed and justified.	Agree. Modify AC1 (a) as recommended. Modify paragraph 1.9 by adding: "The London Plan includes an indicative estimate of 1,000 additional homes and 1,500 additional jobs in Haringey Heartlands/Wood Green by 2016. These estimates will be reviewed."
	AC1(g)	1.33	The Council's recommended change to AC1(g) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	AC1(k)	1.34	The Council's recommended change to AC1, with the new criterion (k), as set out in the RDUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
20 and 22	AC1(a)	1.35	The Council's recommended changes to AC1 (a) and AC2 (a) as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan. The Council's other recommended	Agree. Modify AC1 (a) by inserting "which will include a proportion of affordable housing to meet the overall borough target of 50%". (See also recommendation 1.58 relating to AC2(a).

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			changes to AC1 (a) which add at the end: "which will include a proportion of affordable housing to meet the overall borough target of 50%." should be incorporated into the plan. The Council should modify AC2 (a) in a similar manner.	
20	AC1(e)	1.36	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 20/5/2005, to AC1 (e).	Agree. Modify AC1 (e) to read: "Contributes to regenerating Hornsey High Street as a shopping destination, which could include a medium size food store."
21	AC1, and 1.9	1.37	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 1.9 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan. Paragraph 1.9 should be modified by adding a reference to the status of the proposed master plan.	Agree. Modify paragraph 1.9 by deleting the words "civic and". Insert a new paragraph 1.9a to refer to the status of the proposed master plan (see also Inspector's recommendation 7.52).
	AC1	1.39	No modifications should be made to the plan in relation to objections 56, 566, 676, 920, 964, 1029, 1296, 1702, 1761, 100899, 101035 and 101227.	Agree.
22	AC2 (g)	1.55	The Council's recommended changes to AC2 (g) in the RDUDP, which add "Regional" before "Park" and "its openness" after "Park" should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify sentence to read "and its openness and nature conservation value" after Park.
22	AC2 (b)	1.56	The plan should be modified by adding "which could be" before "linked" in AC2 (b).	Disagree. Delete criteria (b) as it is no longer relevant.
	AC2 (a)	1.57	The Council's recommended changes to AC2 (a) as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
22	AC2 (a)	1.58	AC2 (a) should be modified by adding "which will include a proportion of affordable	Agree. Modify AC2 (a) as recommended.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			housing to meet the overall borough target of 50%." after "200 new homes".	
22	AC2	1.59	The plan should be modified by adding a new criterion to AC2 to address any clear land use proposals for Tottenham Hale.	Agree. Modify AC2 by inserting new criterion to read: "Creates a comprehensive mixed use development including appropriate retailing, such as a small food store and development of a cinema and a hotel". As a consequence, delete the words "seeks to ensure comprehensive and co-ordinated development which" from the second paragraph of AC2.
22	AC2	1.60	The Council's recommended changes to AC2 (g) as set out in the RDUDP and by the addition of "its openness and nature conservation value" should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify AC2 (g) as recommended. See also Inspector's recommendation 13.89.
23	Paragraph 1.11	1.61	The plan should be modified by explaining the purpose and content of the proposed master plan in paragraph 1.11 of the plan.	Agree. Explain the purposes and content of the proposed master plan in paragraph 1.11.
	AC2	1.62	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 529, 568, 919, 963, 1184, 1295, 100983, 101113 and 101568.	Agree.
	AC3 (d)	1.67	The Council's recommended change to AC3 (d) as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	AC3	1.68	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to part of objection 295 and objections 800, 1305, 1381, 1703 and 101239.	Agree.
	AC4 (b)	1.71	The Council's recommended change to AC4 (b) as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	AC4	1.72	No modification should be made to the plan	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			in response to objection 494.	
	AC6, Para. 1.22	1.76	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 1.22 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
24	AC6	1.77	The plan should be modified by deletion of AC6.	Agree. Delete policy AC6. In addition insert new heading to read "Neighbourhood Plans" before paragraph 1.22.
	AC6	1.78	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 1250 and 2266.	Agree.

DEVELOPMENT AND URBAN DESIGN

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	UD1A	2.9	The Council's recommended change to Chapter 2 with the new policy UD1A should be incorporated into the plan as far as UD1A(e) and UD1A(o) is concerned.	Agree.
25	Paragraph 2.1a	2.10	The Council's recommended change to Chapter 2 with the new paragraph 2.1a should be incorporated into the plan. The Council should consider simplifying the language of the second sentence of paragraph 2.1a.	Agree. Modify the second sentence of paragraph 2.1a to read: "This can be achieved through, among other things, thoughtful and sensitive design and management of the public realm."
		2.11	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 31, 38, 974, 1559 and 2302.	Agree.
	UD1 j)b	2.22	The Council's recommended changes to the RDUDP with the additional paragraph 2.1a and the additional criterion (j) (b) in policy UD1 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
26	Paragraph 2.4	2.23	The Council's recommended changes to paragraph 2.4 as set out in the RDUDP, and the modifications proposed in 2004, should be incorporated into the plan.	Partially agree. Modify paragraph 2.4 to add 'accessible' as a bullet point'. However, to ensure clarity add a paragraph after the guiding principles relating to inclusive design to read: "Inclusive Design 2.4a Development proposals should incorporate the principles of inclusive design. Inclusive design creates an environment where everyone can access and benefit from the full range of opportunities available to members of society. It aims to remove barriers that create undue effort, separation or special treatment, and enables everyone to participate

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				equally in mainstream activities independently, with choice and dignity."
26	Glossary	2.24	The plan should be modified by the addition of a clear definition of the meaning of "inclusive" to the Glossary.	Partially agree. A definition of "inclusive design" is provided in paragraph 2.4a (see Inspector's recommendation 2.23).
	Introduction	2.25	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 100704, 100705, 100859, 101038 and 101295.	Agree.
	UD1	2.27	Inspector's Note: UD1 requires modification so that the criteria are given letters in the correct sequence.	Agree. The final plan will be renumbered.
	UD1	2.64	The Council's recommended changes to UD1 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraphs 2.6a, 2.6b, 2.7, 2.7a, 2.8 and Table 2.1A.	2.65	The Council's recommended changes to paragraphs 2.7 and 2.8 plus the addition of Table 2.1A and paragraphs 2.6a, 2.6b and 2.7a as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD1	2.66	The Council's recommended change to the Glossary with the inclusion of "Major (or Large) Developments" as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD1	2.67	The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP with the new policy UD1A should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree
	UD1	2.68	The Council's recommended changes to the first sentence of UD12 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
177	UD1	2.69	The plan should be modified by adding the reports on air quality assessments to the	Agree. Modify the plan by adding the following to the Haringey

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			Haringey Documents part of References on page 229.	Documents section of References: "Air Quality Management Area: Action Plan October 2004"
185	Table 2.1A	2.70	The Council's recommended change to box 9 of Table 2.1A - add "residential developments" before "shopping centres" should be incorporated into the plan; the Council should add the bullet point "Retail Need & Impact Statement" to box 9. The "Sequential tests where appropriate" bullet point should be added to boxes 9 and 11.	Agree. Modify Table 2.1A as recommended. (See Document 3, Table 2.1A)
186	Table 2.1A	2.71	The Council's recommended change to box 8 of Table 2.1A – add "and/or sewers" – should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Table 2.1A as recommended. (See modified Document 3, Table 2.1A)
	UD1	2.72	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 297, 1177, 1762, 2189, 100671, 100706, 100707, 100708, 100709, 100711, 100712, 100713, 100762, 100788, 100830, 100894, 100944, 100945, 101015, 101016, 101017, 101245, 101251, 101253, 101255, 101257, 101258, 101259, 101260, 101302, 101382, 101388, 101395, 101524, 101525 and 101526.	Agree.
28	UD1A	2.73	Inspector note: policy UD1A refers to whereas UD1 and UD2 refer to There is no explanation for this different wording in the reasoned justification. The HUDP should use consistent terms.	Agree. Modify the wording of UD1A to read: "The Council will require development proposals to take into account, where appropriate" As a consequence, change the wording "development schemes" to "development proposals" throughout the Plan.
	UD1A	2.79	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 100789, 100984, 100966, 100967, 101115 and 101262.	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	UD2 (c)	2.103	The Council's recommended change to UD2(c) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD2 (b)	2.104	The Council's recommended change to UD2(b) with "complements" replacing "compliments" should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
30	Paragraph 2.10	2.105	The Council's recommended changes to paragraph 2.10 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan. The plan should be modified by replacing "principles" by "criteria" in the second sentence of paragraph 2.10.	Agree. Modify paragraph 2.10 as recommended.
105	UD2	2.106	The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 7/9/05, which introduces the new Movement policy M3A, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. See also Inspector's recommendation 7.86. Insert new Policy M3A before paragraph 7.12 to read: "M3A: PROTECTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CREATION OF PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE ROUTES The Council will support the protection, improvement, and creation of pedestrian and cycle routes and facilities in the borough to encourage walking and cycling both as a means of transport and as a recreational activity. The Council will also encourage improved links between pedestrian and cycle routes and public transport facilities."
	UD2(d)	2.107	The Council's recommended change to UD2(d) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD2(a)	2.108	The Council's recommended changes to UD2(a) in the RDUDP in relation to water pollution should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
29	UD2(b)	2.109	The Council's recommended changes to UD2(b) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, with the exception of "where appropriate" which should be deleted.	Agree. Modify UD2 paragraph (b) by deleting the words "where appropriate".
	Paragraph 2.10b	2.110	The Council's recommended changes in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 2.10b, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD2(d)(i) and 2.10a	2.111	The Council's recommended changes in the RDUDP, with the new UD2(d)(i) and the new paragraph 2.10a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD2	2.112	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 530, 1024, 1677, 2288, 2297, 10122, 100716, 101264, 101269, 101443, 101475 and 101484.	Agree.
32	Paragraph 2.13	2.121	The plan should be modified in paragraph 2.13 by an explanation as to what action the Council intends to take to identify locally important views. If the Council can act quickly, these views can be identified in a new Schedule.	Agree. Delete the last sentence of paragraph 2.13 and replace with: "Locally important views will be identified as part of a review of Conservation Areas. A list of local views will be included in a future Development Plan Document."
	Paragraph 2.13	2.122	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 2.13, which refers to context photos and drawings, in the RDUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 2.2a	2.123	The Council's recommended change to the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 2.2a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD3(g)	2.124	The Council's recommended change to UD3(g) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
31	UD3	2.125	No modifications should be made to the	Partially agree. In response to objection 100866 the Council

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			plan in response to objections 2270, 2289, 100866, 101272 and 100720.	made a delegated authority decision to add a criterion to UD3 therefore modify UD3 to add: "m) walkability; new housing, shops, public buildings and places of work need to be located and designed so that they can be reached easily on foot."
	UD4	2.128	No modifications should be made to the plan in response to objections 570 and 1401.	Agree.
	UD5	2.131	The Council's recommended change to UD5 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD5	2.132	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 678.	Agree.
59	UD6	2.134	All references to "waste local plan" in the RDUDP should be modified by replacing these words with "development plan documents".	Agree. Modify the Plan by replacing all references to "waste local plan" in the RDUDP with words with "development plan document".
	UD6	2.135	The plan should be modified by either deleting the reference to SPG8a in the recommended change to paragraph 2.19 in the RDUDP, or ensuring that SPG8a does include what is described in this change.	Agree. The SPG will be updated.
	Map 2.1	2.148	The Council's recommended change to Map 2.1, in respect of Hornsey Lane, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
33 and 35	UD7 and paragraph 2.21	2.149	The Council should refer to the London Plan and the Mayor's Transport Strategy in relation to the London road hierarchy in the recommended changes to paragraph 2.21 in the RDUDP. The plan should be modified so that the 3rd tier in the hierarchy is given	Agree. Modify paragraph 2.21 as recommended. Modify paragraph 2.22 to explain the different functions of the hierarchy as follows: "The function of the Strategic Routes is to cater for longer distance movement of people and goods to support

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			the correct name. In addition, a short explanation of the different functions of the highways in these 3 tiers should be given in the reasoned justification.	commerce, business and bus movements. These roads provide links to the national road network. London Distributor Routes link centres to each other and serve traffic crossing boroughs. These roads should attract commercial traffic away from Local Distributor Routes and local access roads as well as provide attractive routes for bus services. Local Distributor and Access Roads' function is to distribute traffic within the Borough and to serve properties."
34	UD7	2.150	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to UD7.	Agree. Modify Policy UD7 to read: "The Council will take into account the function of adjacent roads in its assessment of development proposals".
	UD7	2.151	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 4, 236, 650, 1281, 1286, 1383, 1433, 1467, 1557, 1561, 1725 and 2263.	Agree.
	UD8(c)	2.156	The Council's recommended change to UD8(c) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD8	2.157	The Council's recommended change to UD2(d) in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD8	2.158	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 679.	Agree.
187	UD9	2.169	The Council's recommended changes to the parking standards for B1, B2 and B8 uses as set out in the delegated authority recommended changes dated 21/6/05 should be incorporated into the plan. The Council's acceptance of the need to conform to London Plan standards in respect of the table 2.1a standards applying	Agree. Modify section D 'B1 Business, B2 General Industrial and B8 Storage and Distribution: Car Parking' in Appendix 1 as recommended (see Document 3, Table D.1).

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			to all development should also be incorporated into the plan.	
43 and 44	Appendix 1	2.170	The Council's recommended changes to the RDUDP in terms of the introduction of the new Appendix 1 and the change to policy UD9 should be incorporated into the plan. Paragraph 1.1 of Appendix 1 should be modified, so as to remove superfluous material as described in paragraph 2.163.	<p>Agree. Modify Appendix 1 paragraph 1.1 by deleting references to 1998 policies and changing the reference from SPG14 to SPG 10d.</p> <p>Modify the last part of the sentence to read: "the key objectives outlined in paragraph 7.3 of the Movement Chapter and these standards".</p> <p>Modify the title of I to read: "Car Parking for Conversions".</p> <p>Remaining cross references will be added once the numbering of the plan is finalised.</p>
45	Appendix 1	2.171	The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 21/6/05 to paragraph 9.1 of the new Appendix 1 should be incorporated into the plan.	<p>Partially agree. Modify Appendix 1 by adding the following to the end of paragraph G.4 – Dwelling Houses:</p> <p>"G.5 Cycle Parking 1 space per residential unit should be provided where possible. The cycle parking can be either internal or external to the residential dwelling provided it is secure, safe and undercover."</p>
	UD9	2.172	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 298, 327, 680, 755, 756, 801, 1892 and 2145.	Agree.
36	UD10(b)	2.196	The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 21/6/05 to UD10(b) in the RDUDP in respect of deleting "infrastructure" should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Policy UD10(b) as recommended.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
37	Paragraph 2.29	2.197	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 2.29 in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan, with "Circular 1/97" being replaced by "ODPM Circular 05/2005".	Agree. Modify paragraph 2.29 as recommended.
	UD10(b)	2.198	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to UD10(b) should be incorporated into the plan, in respect of the deletion of the last phrase.	Agree.
	Paragraph 2.30	2.199	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP to paragraph 2.30 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
188	Table 2.1	2.200	The Council's recommended change in the RDUDP in Table 2.1, in respect of the replacement of "Residential" with "Major new development", should be incorporated into the plan.	Partially agree. Modify Table 2.1 as recommended. See Document 3, Table 2.1.
36	UD10(b)	2.201	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to UD10(b) in respect of adding "emergency services".	Agree. Modify paragraph 2.8b as recommended.
38	Table 2.1 and paragraph 2.30	2.202	The plan should be modified by placing the list of planning obligations in Table 2.1 in a logical order which follows the chapters in the HUDP, and also considers setting out the priorities for planning obligations in paragraph 2.30.	Agree. Reorder the list in Table 2.1 in chapter order. (see Document 3, Table 2.1) Modify paragraph 2.30 to cross-reference to SPG 10 and to set out priorities for planning obligations as follows: "A table in SPG10 shows the types of benefits the Council wishes to secure from different types of development. This is not an exhaustive list but indicates the types of benefits to be sought. The priority areas include affordable housing, public transport, open space and employment "
	UD10	2.203	No modification should be made to the plan	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			in response to objections 21, 33, 218, 422, 571, 681, 918, 961, 1294, 1402, 1766, 1769, 1770, 100790, 1000860, 100895 and 101030.	
39 and 78	UD10A	2.205	The plan should be modified by deletion of policy UD10A.	Agree. Delete UD10A as recommended. Delete paragraph 2.31b, but move paragraphs 2.31c and 2.31d to the Employment Chapter. As a consequence, delete all references to Policy UD10A.
	UD11	2.212	The Council's promise to redraft SPG1a to include clear guidance on wind corridors and wind tunnel effects should be carried out before this plan is approved.	Agree. SPG1a will be updated.
	UD11, paragraphs 2.33, 2.34 and 2.34a	2.213	The Council's proposed changes to UD11 and the reasoned justification in paragraphs 2.33, 2.34 and 2.34a in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
40	UD11	2.214	UD11 should be modified by adding criteria, as proposed in the conclusions for objection 1704 in paragraph 2.209. The criteria would include: (a) high design quality, (b) acceptable relationship to surroundings, (c) appropriate site size and setting, (d) wind turbulence and overshadowing and (e) impact on historic environment, Green Belt and MOL.	Agree. Modify UD11 to read: "Applications for tall buildings will assessed against the following criteria: (a) high design quality; (b) acceptable relationship to surroundings; (c) appropriate site size and setting; (d) wind turbulence and overshadowing; and (e) impact on historic environment, Green Belt and MOL."
	UD11	2.215	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 300.	Agree.
41	UD12(a)	2.223	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended deletion in 2004 and LBH/12 of its recommended addition to UD12(a) about "illuminated fascia..." in the RDUDP.	Agree. Modify UD12(a) to read: "being of a high quality and sensitive to its visual appearance on the building on which it is to be sited and the surrounding street scene, especially in the case of listed buildings and conservation areas;"

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	UD12	2.224	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 301, 572, 1403, 1404, 1694, 1726, 100986, 101527, 101118 and 101534.	Agree.
	UD13(a) and (b)	2.237	The Council's recommended changes to UD13(a) and (b) as set out in the RDUDP, with the addition of "significantly", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD13	2.238	The Council's recommended changes to UD13 in the RDUDP, with the additional paragraph, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	2.39	2.239	The Council's recommended changes to paragraph 2.39 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD13	2.240	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 384, 1153, 1405, 1768, 100721, 100772, 100804, 100807, 100861, 100987, 101120, 101357 and 101545.	Agree.
189	Appendix 1 Map A.1	2.249	The plan should be modified by the Council's recommended change in 2004 to Map A.1.	Agree. Modify Map A1 so that it is easier to understand. (see Document 3, Map Change 3)
42	Appendix 1, 10a.1	2.250	The Council should modify the plan by adding a sentence to paragraph 10a.1 of Appendix 1 which indicates that "The first car free residential developments will be carefully assessed to see how well they perform".	Agree. Modify paragraph 10a.1 as recommended.
	Appendix 1, I.1 and I.2	2.251	The Council's recommended change to the RDUDP with the deletion of I.1 and I.2 in Appendix 1 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Appendix 1	2.252	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100672, 100722, 100723, 100765, 100808, 100862, 100863, 100928, 100988, 101122, 101274, 101284, 101286, 101444, 101476, 101485 and 101529.	Agree.

ENVIRONMENT

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Paragraphs 3.30b and 3.30c	3.8	The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP, with the 2 new paragraphs 3.30b and 3.30c, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.1	3.9	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 3.1 as set out in the RDUDP should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV8R	3.10	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new policy ENV8R, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
59	ENV8R	3.11	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, with the additional text for new policy ENV8R about the North London Waste Development Plan Document.	Agree. Modify ENV8R as recommended.
57	ENV7A	3.12	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, with the new policy ENV7A plus the accompanying reasoned justification – however the second paragraph of ENV7A should become part of the reasoned justification.	Agree. Modify ENV7A as recommended.
	Paragraph 3.30f	3.13	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 3.30f, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	UD1A	3.14	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the addition of the new policy UD1A, should be incorporated	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			into the plan.	
53	ENV5A	3.16	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new policy ENV5A and accompanying reasoned justification should be incorporated into the plan. However the Council should consider modifying both the title of ENV5A by deleting "Land and", and the wording of ENV5A, so that the policy is a practical land use policy rather than a statement of intent. A possible proposed new wording for ENV5A is in paragraph 3.15.	Agree. Modify Policy ENV5A as recommended in paragraphs 3.15 and 3.16.
	Map 3.1A	3.25	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete Maps 3.1 and 3.2 and replace them with Map 3.1A, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree (see Inspector's recommendation 3.28).
46	ENV1R	3.26	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP to delete ENV1 and paragraphs 3.5 and 3.6 and insert ENV1R plus the accompanying reasoned justification should be incorporated into the plan. The first sentence of ENV1R should be modified to read: "Planning permission will not be granted for development proposals in areas of flood risk identified on Map 3.1A that..."	Agree. Modify ENV1R as recommended.
	ENV2A	3.27	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP to replace ENV2 with ENV2A together with accompanying reasoned justification should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph	3.28	The plan should be modified by	Agree. No modification required.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	3.6c		incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to add a new reasoned justification paragraph for ENV1R mentioning climate change.	
	ENV1	3.29	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 10050 and 101680.	Agree.
47	Paragraph 3.6e	3.33	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, with the replacement of "will" with "is likely to" in the opening sentence of paragraph 3.6(e).	Agree. Modify paragraph 3.6e as recommended.
	ENV1R	3.34	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100990 and 101124.	Agree.
48	ENV2A	3.37	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new policy ENV2A replacing ENV2 together with the reasoned justification, should be incorporated into the plan. The plan should also be modified by the 2 paragraphs following ENV2A(b) becoming part of the reasoned justification.	Agree. Modify ENV2A as recommended.
48	ENV2A	3.41	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to the paragraph following ENV2A(b), with 2-8 litres/second/hectare(l/s/ha) replacing 2-8 litres/second/hectare (h/s/ha).	Agree. Modify ENV2A as recommended.
48	ENV2A	3.42	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, with the 2 nd paragraph following ENV2A(b), but as part of the	Agree. Modify the plan by placing the 2 nd paragraph following ENV2A(b), as part of the reasoned justification and not part of the policy and amending it to read: "The Council will encourage surface water run-off elements

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			reasoned justification and not part of the policy.	to take into account ecological and hydrological impacts.”
	ENV2A	3.43	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 100969.	Agree.
49	ENV2B	3.45	The plan should be modified by rewording ENV2B to say: “All new development should incorporate water conservation methods”.	Agree. Modify ENV2B as recommended.
	ENV3	3.52	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the addition to ENV3(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	3.12a	3.53	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the additional paragraph 3.12a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
190	ENV3	3.54	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in 2004 to add “and/or sewers” to the 8 th bullet point in Table 2.1A in the RDUDP.	Agree. Modify Table 2.1A as recommended (see recommendation in paragraph 2.71).
	ENV3	3.55	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1772, 1897, 100992 and 101126.	Agree.
	ENV3(a)	3.57	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the addition to ENV3(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
50	ENV4	3.58	The plan should be modified by replacing ENV4 by the following: “Any proposed development which is likely to adversely affect defined watercourses will not be permitted, unless measures are taken to provide appropriately designed drainage	Agree. Modify ENV4 as recommended. Move current text in ENV4 to reasoned justification.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			works.”	
	3.16a	3.66	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add paragraph 3.16a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	3.17	3.67	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add two new sentences to paragraph 3.17, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV5	3.68	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “or from construction noise” to UD2(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV5(b)	3.69	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a sentence to ENV5(b), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	3.16	3.70	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a sentence on light pollution to paragraph 3.16, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	3.17	3.71	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “soft and hard” to UD3(f), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV5	3.72	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1774.	Agree.
	3.23	3.86	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “Motorised” to paragraph 3.23, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV6 and 3.22b	3.87	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new criterion ENV6(a)(b) and new paragraph 3.22b,	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			should be incorporated into the plan.	
56	ENV6A	3.88	The Council's recommended change to ENV6A in the RDUDP has a final sentence. This sentence, which deals with carbon dioxide emissions, should be removed from the policy and placed in the reasoned justification.	Agree. Move the sentence to the reason justification and modify to read: "The Council will, subject to available resources, endeavour to provide guidance to applicants on the calculation of the CO ₂ emissions of their development schemes."
	ENV6	3.89	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete ENV6(d) and (e), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
54	ENV6	3.90	The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 20/5/05, to modify the ENV6 title to "MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE: ENERGY EFFICIENCY", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify the title of ENV6 as recommended. This results in a consequential change, amend title throughout the Plan.
54	ENV6	3.91	The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 20/5/05, to add "in order to reduce the need for travel by car" to ENV6(e), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify ENV6(e) (numbered ENV6(c) in the RDUDP) to read: "Requiring development, especially that which will generate a lot of motorised traffic, to locate where it is easily accessible by public transport, cycling and walking in order to reduce the need to travel by car."
54	ENV6	3.92	The Council's recommended change to ENV6(c) as set out in the "Outstanding GLA objections (8 August 05 and 16 September 05)" document, with the changes: "Requiring developers.....wherever feasible with the aim of meeting the 10% target in ENV6A.", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify ENV6(c) (numbered ENV6(a)(b) in the RDUDP) to read: "Requiring developers to incorporate community heating schemes and Combined Heat and Power systems, wherever feasible with the aim of meeting the 10% target in ENV6A."
55	Paragraph 3.23	3.93	The plan should be modified by deletion of the second sentence of paragraph 3.23 as set out in the RDUDP.	Agree modify paragraph 3.23 as recommended.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	ENV6	3.94	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1422, 100724, 100970 and 101296.	Agree.
56	ENV6A	3.98	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to the sentence following ENV6a(e) – the addition of a new sentence "The wider environmentalplanning permission".	Agree. Modify the penultimate sentence of Policy ENV6A by adding the following: "The wider environmental benefits of all proposals for renewable energy projects, whatever their scale, are also material considerations that will be given significant weight in determining whether proposals should be granted planning permission."
56	ENV6A	3.99	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in LBH/01, to reorder ENV6A.	Agree. Reorder ENV6A as recommended.
56	ENV6A	3.100	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in LBH/01, to add "solar" to "passive design" in ENV6A(b).	Agree. Modify ENV6A(b) as recommended.
	ENV6A	3.101	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 100971.	Agree.
58	ENV7A	3.103	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change to paragraph 3.26i of the RDUDP in 2004.	Agree. Modify ENV7A, paragraph 3.26(i) to read: "For the following sites which are either within the borough or close to the borough boundary a consultation zone will be agreed as appropriate with the Health and Safety Executive...."
	ENV8	3.117	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace ENV8 with ENV8R, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.30e	3.118	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 3.30e, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph	3.119	The Council's recommended change as set	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	2.19b		out in the RDUDP, to add paragraph 2.19b, should be incorporated into the plan.	
	Paragraph 3.30d	3.120	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 3.30d, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.30c	3.121	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 3.30c, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 3.30f	3.122	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the new paragraph 3.30f, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	ENV8	3.123	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1044, 1258, 1313, 1406, 1446, 1470 and 2301.	Agree.
	ENV8R	3.128	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 101268, 101615, 101617 and 101621.	Agree.

HOUSING

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	1st Key Objective, paragraph 4.3	4.9	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace the 1 st Key Objective in paragraph 4.3, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 4.2	4.10	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "appropriate to their needs" after "safe home" in paragraph 4.2, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
60	Paragraph 4.1a	4.11	Paragraph 4.1a should be modified so that it reflects the approach set down in the London Plan, and in particular policy 3A.2 and table 3A.1, plus paragraphs 3.9-3.15.	Agree. Modify paragraph 4.1a to read: "A London Housing Capacity Study was carried out in 2004 to provide a new London wide housing target and update borough housing figures. The London Plan has been altered to reflect the capacity study and a draft target for Haringey of 6,800 dwellings, or 680 dwellings per annum has been set over a ten-year period 2007/08-2016/17. The housing target is for net additional dwellings and includes dwellings provided through development and redevelopment, conversions from residential and non-residential properties, together with bringing long term vacant properties back into use and new non self-contained accommodation."
	Paragraph 4.6a	4.12	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new paragraph 4.6a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		4.13	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 704, 1447 and 1502.	Agree.
61	Paragraph 4.1a	4.20	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add a new paragraph 4.1b.	Agree. Add paragraph 4.1b as recommended and add further sentences to read: "The draft housing target will be incorporated into the London Plan in 2007. The Council will monitor housing

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				consents and completions. Monitoring the housing provision figures is an essential part of a 'plan monitor and manage' approach to ensure that market and other delivery factors are taken into account in the delivery of housing."
72	4.1	4.21	The Council should delete its recommended gypsy and travellers' sites policy and introduce a new policy, which has 5 land use criteria as suggested in paragraph 4.18. The reasoned justification in support of this policy should mention why there is a need for the policy stemming from existing provision in Haringey for licensed traveller sites, the 2004 closure of the Wood Green site and that a proper assessment of need would be undertaken shortly.	<p>Agree. Insert new gypsy and traveller policy as Policy HSG7M to read:</p> <p>"HSG7M GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS</p> <p>Proposals for permanent sites, temporary stopping places and transit sites for gypsies and travellers would be permitted provided that the following criteria are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) there is an identified need for provision; (b) the site is suitable for the use proposed in terms of size and location; (c) there would be no adverse impact on the character or amenities of the adjoining area; (d) there would be reasonable access to local services and facilities; and (e) the site has adequate vehicular access." <p>Insert new supporting paragraphs to read:</p> <p>"The Council acknowledges the need to provide accommodation for gypsies and travellers in Haringey. There are two licensed traveller sites in Haringey – at Wallman Place, N22 and Clyde Road, N15. In July 2005, the ODPM Gypsy Caravan Count identified in 15 caravans on these sites. These sites have a capacity for 20 caravans on 10 pitches. In July 2004, a traveller site at Wood Green Common closed to allow for the proposed redevelopment of Haringey Heartlands. However, the Gypsy Caravan Count identifies no unauthorised sites or encampments in the</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				borough. The Council will, in co-ordination with other boroughs and the Greater London Authority, assess the accommodation needs of gypsies and travellers. The issue of need and site identification will be addressed in future Development Plan Documents. The above criteria will be used to meet unexpected demand and to guide the allocation of sites in a future site allocation DPD."
	HSG1 (b)	4.50	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete "including" and replace that with "and where appropriate include" in HSG1 (b), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	4.8	4.51	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the deletion of "conform with" and addition of "take account of" in paragraph 4.8, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
65	HSG1	4.52	The plan should be modified by adding an explanation as to how the housing sites in Schedule 1 relate to HSG1, and how dwelling numbers were calculated. The plan should also be modified by listing the available housing sites in the reasoned justification following HSG1.	Agree. Modify paragraph 4.6 with an explanation of the housing sites and their capacity. List the sites in Schedule 1 with housing potential in a table following Policy HSG1. (see Document 3, Table 4.1)
63	HSG1(f)	4.53	The plan should be modified so that the new criterion HSG1 (f) becomes part of the reasoned justification. Explanation of "Lifetime Homes" standards should be added to this part of the plan.	Agree. Delete HSG1(f) and insert new paragraph to read: "The Council will seek to ensure that all new housing is built to 'Lifetime Homes' standards and that 10% of new housing is designed to be wheelchair accessible, or easily adaptable for residents who are wheelchair users. Lifetime Homes are ordinary homes designed to provide accessible and

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				convenient homes to a large section of the population from young children to old people and those with temporary or permanent impairments. Lifetime Homes have design features that ensure that the home will be flexible enough to meet the existing and changing needs of most households”.
	HSG1(d)	4.54	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “and public transport” to HSG1 (d), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	HSG1(e) and 4.7	4.55	The Council's recommended changes to HSG1 (e) and paragraph 4.7, as set out in the RDUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
64	Paragraph 4.5a	4.56	The plan should be modified so that paragraph 4.5a as set out in the RDUDP, refers directly to the source and nature of the Haringey housing target.	Agree. Modify paragraph 4.5a to read: “The Council has welcomed the new London Housing Capacity Study and considers that it provides a realistic assessment of housing capacity in the borough. The draft alterations to London Plan include a housing target of 6,800 dwellings for Haringey over the period 2007/08-2016/17.”
64	Paragraph 4.5a	4.57	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add paragraph 4.5a, should be incorporated into the plan – with the exception of the last sentence, which should be deleted.	Agree. Delete last sentence of paragraph 4.5a.
	HSG1	4.58	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 2, 364, 870, 993, 1009, 1360, 1504, 1571, 1608, 1642, 1812, 1828, 1885, 2509, 100727, 100778, 100850, 100893, 100901, 100917, 101298, 101445, 101477, 101486, and 101532.	Agree.
66	HSG2 (a)	4.66	Schedule 1 should be modified so that it clearly shows which sites are DEAs and	Agree. Modify Schedule 1 so that it indicates which sites are in DEAs and which of those are suitable for housing. (see

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			which of those sites are suitable for housing. The Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 7/9/05 to HSG2(a) should be incorporated into the plan.	Document 3, Schedule 1). See also Document 3, Table 4.1. Modify HSG2 (a) to read: "the building does not fall within a defined employment area unless specified for housing in Table 4.1 and Schedule 1 or where a proposal satisfies the criteria in policy EMP3R; or"
	HSG2	4.67	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 386, 1505, 1506, 1572, 1609, 1643, 1681, 2510, 100728 and 101299.	Agree.
67	HSG3 (b)	4.75	HSG3 (b) should be modified such that "similar" is replaced by "appropriate".	Agree. Modify HSG3 (b) as recommended.
	HSG3 (a)	4.76	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "or the accommodation is substandard" to HSG3 (a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
67	HSG3 (a)	4.77	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add "and incapable of economic improvement" to HSG3 (a).	Agree. Modify HSG3 (a) as recommended.
	HSG3	4.78	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 462, 1016, 1361 and 1362.	Agree.
68 and 69	HSG4 and paragraph 4.18	4.124	HSG4 should be modified by replacing the 3 rd sentence in the reasoned justification and rewording it to say that "Provision of affordable housing would take account of guidance in Affordable Housing SPG10a/11"	Agree. Delete the 3 rd sentence of Policy HSG4 and adding the recommended text to paragraph 4.18.
68	HSG4	4.125	HSG4 should be modified by adding "and	Agree. Modify HSG4 as recommended.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			planning conditions" after "agreements" in the 4 th sentence.	
	Paragraph 4.17	4.126	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to modify paragraph 4.17, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. (Note: this recommendation relates to a revision to paragraph 4.17 in the RDUDP) No modification required.
	Paragraph 4.16a	4.127	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with new paragraph 4.16a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 4.17	4.128	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add, "low cost market housing" to paragraph 4.17, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
69	HSG4 and paragraph 4.16a	4.129	Paragraph 4.16a in the RDUDP should contain clearer reasons for the Council's selection of the 10 dwelling threshold. Government guidance and London Plan advice could form the basis for this justification.	<p>Agree. Note: Since Autumn 2004 the Secretary of State has directed UDPs in London proposed for adoption to adopt a 10 unit threshold.</p> <p>Replace the first three sentences of paragraph 4.16 with:</p> <p>"An update in 2005 to the Council's Housing Needs Study estimated a requirement of an additional 4,387 affordable dwellings per annum for the next five years. Given the scale of need, the Council seeks to maximise the availability of affordable housing from all available sources. It considers that the minimum site size threshold in policy HSG8 is justified having regard to the size and type of sites coming forward for development in Haringey and the contribution made from smaller sites to meeting the borough target for affordable housing provision. The threshold is consistent with the London Plan and emerging Government guidance in draft PPS3."</p> <p>(see also Inspector's recommendation 4.132)</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Paragraph 4.16	4.130	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, with the additions to paragraph 4.16, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
69	HSG4 and paragraph 4.18	4.131	The reasoned justification should be modified to include a brief explanation as to how the Council will use planning obligations to secure affordable housing.	Agree. Replace the last sentence of paragraph 4.18 with: "The Council will use planning obligations to secure the provision of affordable housing. The agreement will set out the amount and location of affordable housing to be provided, details of units to be transferred to an RSL, nomination arrangements and a requirement that the units are permanently affordable. Further detail on the provision of affordable housing is set out in SPG 11 Affordable Housing and policy UD10 Planning Obligations".
69	HSG4 and paragraph 4.17	4.132	The reasoned justification should be modified to include a brief explanation of up-to-date housing need in Haringey, and how this scale of need leads to the 50% target, the 70:30 split and how Haringey is divided into east and west.	Agree. See also modified paragraph 4.16. Delete the last two sentences of paragraph 4.17 and replace with the following three paragraphs: "The London Plan requires that boroughs should seek to achieve a range of types of affordable and an appropriate balance between social and intermediate housing to meet a Londonwide objective of 70% social housing and 30% intermediate provision." "Haringey is both socially and economically polarised, with high levels of deprivation in eastern parts of the borough and relative affluence in the west. Social rented accommodation is heavily concentrated in the east of the borough and there is an opportunity to readdress the imbalance to promote other types of affordable housing, in particular key worker schemes, which can help to develop mixed and balanced communities."

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				"Therefore, the Council will negotiate different proportions of social rented and intermediate housing having regard to the existing proportion of social rented provision in an area, the overall amount of affordable housing proposed, the suitability of the site and location for family housing, individual site costs, the availability of public subsidy and other planning requirements. Further guidance will be provided on targets for different parts of the borough."
69	Paragraph 4.17	4.133	Paragraph 4.17 in the RDUDP should be modified such that "and also developments which are solely for student accommodation" is deleted.	Agree. Delete "and also developments which are solely for student accommodation" from paragraph 4.17.
178	Paragraph 4.17 and Glossary	4.134	The Glossary should be modified such that the definition of affordable housing is clarified, and definitions of social housing and intermediate housing are added.	Agree. Modify glossary by clarifying definition of affordable housing and adding definitions of social housing and intermediate housing.
	HSG4	4.135	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 223, 224, 387, 429, 495, 663, 690, 712, 754, 758, 923, 995, 986, 1312, 1335, 1507, 1508, 1574, 1610, 1611, 1644, 1645, 1682, 1829, 1834, 1837, 2511, 2512, 10097, 100578, 100661, 100670, 100766, 100868, 100902, 100974, 100975, 100976 and 101023	Agree.
	HSG5	4.138	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 496 and 100851.	Agree.
70	HSG6	4.144	HSG6 should be modified in the way suggested in the Inspector note [paragraph 4.139] in order to remove the confusing multiple negatives and two sets of criteria	Agree. Modify HSG6 as recommended in 4.139 and 4.144

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			with the same initials.	
	HSG6 (c)	4.145	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to HSG6(c), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
70	HSG6	4.146	HSG6 should be further modified to include the following new criterion: "(d) would not cause adverse parking problems;"	Partially agree. Modify HSG6 as recommended, but add to new criterion a).
	HSG6	4.147	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 685 and 1006.	Agree.
	HSG7 and paragraph 4.26	4.151	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to HSG7 and paragraph 4.26, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
71	HSG7	4.152	HSG7 should be modified in the way proposed in the Inspector note in paragraph 4.150: "Planning permission for special needs accommodation will be permitted where the proposal: (a) meets an identified need; (b) would not harm the amenity of nearby residents; (c) would not harm the character or appearance of the surrounding area."	<p>Agree, modify policy HSG7 to read as follows:</p> <p>"HSG7 HOUSING FOR SPECIAL NEEDS</p> <p>Planning permission for special needs accommodation will be permitted where the proposal:</p> <p>(a) meets an identified need;</p> <p>(b) would not harm the amenity of nearby residents;</p> <p>(c) would not harm the character or appearance of the surrounding area"</p> <p>The list of types of special needs housing should follow the modified policy so that paragraph 4.26 begins:</p> <p>"Special needs accommodation includes sheltered housing, residential care and nursing homes, children's homes and other supported housing schemes."</p>
	HSG7	4.153	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 101303.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
73	HSG8	4.186	<p>HSG8 should be modified so that it properly reflects the London Plan guidance for density in relation to both location [i.e. Central, Urban and Suburban], and house type [flats, terraced houses and detached houses]. The reasoned justification should also be modified such that the arguments for the low and high density ranges should be carefully explained and justified in the reasoned justification. HSG8 should be framed along the following lines: "Density for new residential development shall be in the following ranges: mostly flats in the Central areas 650-1,100 hr/h; terraced houses and flats in the Urban areas 200-450 hr/h; flats in the Urban areas 450-700 hr/h; detached and linked houses in the Suburban areas 150-200 hr/h. All new development will be carefully designed and compatible with the appearance and character of the surrounding area and its buildings, and should be well related to public transport and public service provision." The Central, Urban and Suburban areas of Haringey should be defined and identified in the reasoned justification and shown clearly on an accompanying map. If the Council requires and wishes to adopt more complicated density ranges, there are more density figures in table 4B.1 of the London Plan.</p>	<p>Partially agree. There are no 'suburban' areas in the borough. The borough is characterised by 'Urban' and 'Central settings as described in Table 4B.1 of the London Plan and illustrated in Annex 4 of the 2004 London Housing Capacity Study. The majority of the borough is 'urban' in character and therefore applying Table 4B.1 gives a broad density range of 200-700 hrh. Areas of 'Central' character align with areas of high public transport accessibility (PTAL levels 4-6). Therefore, the higher density range up to 1,100 hrh would apply to these areas. The density ranges will be applied flexibility in light of local circumstances and density will be dictated by a number of site-specific factors. This is stressed in the reasoned justification, which mentions a number of factors that determine density.</p> <p>Therefore, policy HSG8 will be modified to conform to the London Plan and to provide flexibility to reflect local circumstances.</p> <p>Modify HSG8 to read as follows:</p> <p>Residential development in the borough should normally be provided at a density of between 200-700 habitable rooms per hectare (hrh) and should have regard to the density ranges set out in Table 4B.1 of the London Plan.</p> <p>Higher density development up to 1,100 hrh may be acceptable provided the proposed development is in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) an areas with good public transport accessibility (PTAL levels 4-6, see Map A.1) and predominately comprises flats b) an Opportunity Area (Tottenham Hale see policy AC2) c) an Area for Intensification (Haringey Heartlands see

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>policy AC1); or d) within a mixed use scheme; and e) Where a planning brief for the site has been adopted and recommends higher densities</p> <p>Add supporting paragraphs to read:</p> <p>“The density ranges will be applied flexibly in light of local circumstances. Therefore, the Council will adopt a ‘design-led’ approach to density and will consider the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the character of the surrounding area, in terms of existing building form, massing and building heights; b) historic heritage context, including listed buildings and conservation areas c) the characteristics of the site; d) the quality of the design; e) the range and mix of housing types; f) the level of service provision and public spaces; and g) car parking provision. <p>As such, proposals should conform with other policies of the Plan, notably Policies UD2 (General Principles), UD3 (Quality Design) and UD11 (Tall Buildings).</p> <p>New development should be compatible with the existing pattern of development and character of an area. According to the London Plan, the borough is characterised as predominately ‘Urban’, but contains areas of a ‘Central’ setting. These ‘Central’ areas align with areas of good public transport accessibility (PTALS levels 4-6).”</p> <p>Replace first sentence of paragraph 4.28 to read:</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				"The Council will expect development proposals to achieve the most efficient use of land in order to meet local and strategic housing needs and protect open spaces in the borough."
74	Paragraph 4.29	4.187	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, of adding "significantly" to paragraph 4.29, should not be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify paragraph 4.29 as recommended.
75	Paragraph 4.30	4.188	Paragraph 4.30 should be deleted from the plan. The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new definition of "town cramming", should not be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Delete paragraph 4.30 and delete definition of "town cramming" from the Glossary.
	HSG8	4.189	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 420, 551, 574, 648, 719, 1213, 1334, 1388, 1408, 1510, 1575, 1576, 1612, 1613, 1646, 1647, 1679, 1705, 1814, 1871, 1876, 1877, 1882, 1893, 2513, 2514 and 10893.	Agree.
	HSG9	4.192	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "where possible" to HSG9, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	HSG9	4.193	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1577 and 2515.	Agree.
	Paragraph 4.35	4.201	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a reference to SPG3a in paragraph 4.35, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	HSG10(f)	4.202	The Council's recommended change as set	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			out in the RDUDP, to add "Ward" to HSG10 (f), should be incorporated into the plan.	
76	HSG10 and paragraph 4.35	4.203	HSG10 should be modified to start with: "There is a presumption against granting planning permission for conversions in the following areas....(a)...(j)". The 3 rd sentence of paragraph 4.35 should be modified to say: "Therefore conversions will usually be resisted in these areas..... conversions."	Agree. Modify HSG10 to read: "There is a presumption against granting planning permission for conversions in the following areas...." Modify 3 rd sentence of paragraph 4.35 to read: "Therefore conversions will usually be resisted in these areas as they have already reached their capacity for conversions".
	HSG10	4.204	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 664, 1364, 1578, 1614, 1648, 1683 and 2516.	Agree.
	HSG11	4.208	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete HSG11, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

EMPLOYMENT

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
39 and 78	UD10A, paragraphs 2.31b-2.31d	5.5	The plan should be modified by deletion of UD10A in the RDUDP together with paragraphs 2.31b-2.31d.	Agree. Delete UD10A as recommended. Delete paragraph 2.31b, but move paragraphs 2.31c and 2.31d to the Employment Chapter. See also Inspector's recommendation 2.205
		5.6	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1322 and 1519.	Agree.
	Proposals Map, Map Changes No.1 and No.25	5.23	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to identify NE Tottenham, Tottenham Hale and Wood Green as Strategic Employment Locations on the Proposals Map, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 5.13a	5.24	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add new paragraph 5.13a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	EMP1a	5.25	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new policy EMP1a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 5.5	5.26	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to amend the 1 st bullet point in paragraph 5.5, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Key Objectives, paragraph 5.6	5.27	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the 1 st bullet point in paragraph 5.6, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 5.9	5.28	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to insert new paragraphs 5.9a-5.9d, should be incorporated into the	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			plan.	
79, 80 and 82	Paragraphs 5.7, 5.8, 5.12 and 5.19	5.29	Paragraphs 5.7, 5.8, 5.12 and 5.19 should be modified to remove the apparent inconsistencies about acceptable employment generating uses.	<p>Agree. Paragraphs 5.12 and 5.19 state that Employment Location DEAs are suitable for a range of employment generating uses. However, the term 'employment generating uses' in paragraph 5.7 refers only to 'B' Class uses and sui generis transport uses.</p> <p>Therefore, replace paragraph 5.7 to read:</p> <p>"For the purposes of this chapter the term 'employment generating uses' includes all non-residential uses that generate employment."</p> <p>Delete the first sentence of paragraph 5.8. Modify the second sentence to read: "Proposals that fall within the 'A' and 'D' Use Classes, such as shops, community and leisure facilities will be assessed against other policies in the Plan, notably TCR2, CW1 and CCT1." Delete third sentence.</p> <p>As a consequence, modify the last sentence of paragraph 5.17a to replace "employment generating use" with "B Class use".</p> <p>As a consequence, modify the last sentence of paragraph 5.19 to refer to employment "generating" uses.</p>
		5.30	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1033, 1521, 100794, 101215 and 101420.	Agree.
81	Paragraph 5.10	5.32	The plan should be modified to resolve the confusion as to the precise status and areas covered by Strategic Employment Locations - the policies to be applied in these areas	Agree. Replace paragraph 5.10 to read: "The borough contains three Strategic Employment Locations: Wood Green (part), Tottenham Hale and Central Leaside Business Area (part). These locations are

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			are not clear, and how they overlap with DEA – Regeneration Areas is also not clear.	designated in the London Plan and are shown on the Proposals Map. SELs form a London wide framework of sites for industry, business and warehousing. The Tottenham Hale and Wood Green (Haringey Heartlands) SELs are also designated as an Opportunity Area and an Area for Intensification respectively in the London Plan in recognition of their potential to provide new homes as well as new jobs. Therefore, these areas also contain Regeneration Area Defined Employment Areas (see Schedule 3).” Identify Strategic Employment Locations in Schedule 3. (see Document 3, Schedule 3).
82	EMP1(b)	5.40	EMP1(b) should be modified such that it reads: “will not compromise the employment status of a DEA” and EMP1(c) is introduced to read “are a complimentary use needed for the area to function effectively for employment purposes.”	Agree. Modify EMP1 (b) as recommended. As a consequence to Inspector’s recommendation 5.29, modify criterion a) so that the three criteria read: a) are ancillary to a primary ‘B’ Class use; b) will not compromise the employment status of a DEA; and c) are a complimentary use needed for the area to function effectively for employment purposes.
	EMP2, Paragraph 5.14	5.41	The reasoned justification for EMP2 should be modified to explain that in the current absence of an up-to-date assessment of housing supply against the agreed housing requirement target, it would be wrong to entirely rule out all housing on EMP2 sites. This modification should only be changed if a new housing target figure for Haringey can be adopted from the recent London Housing Capacity Study.	No modification required. A new housing target figure for Haringey will be included in the Housing Chapter. Furthermore, Policy HSG2(a) will be modified with a reference to Policy EMP3R (Non Employment Generating Uses) which would allow housing on surplus DEA sites (see Inspector’s recommendation 4.66).
191	Schedule 3	5.42	Schedule 3 should be modified by the	Agree. Modify Schedule 3 as recommended. See Document

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			deletion of No 22 – Lynx Express Depot.	3, Schedule 3.
		5.43	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 97, 359, 392, 415, 486 and 760.	Agree.
	Paragraph 5.19	5.48	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete the last sentence of paragraph 5.19 and replace it, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		5.49	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 360.	Agree.
83	EMP3R	5.60	The Council's recommended change as set out Appendix D of LBH/06, to delete EMP3 and its reasoned justification and replace them with a new policy EMP3R with new reasoned justification, should be incorporated into the plan.	<p>Agree. However, the recommended change as set out in Appendix D of LBH/06 is a modification.</p> <p>Delete criteria c), d) & e)</p> <p>Modify criterion b) to read: "there is well documented evidence of an unsuccessful marketing / advertisement campaign, including price sought over a period of normally 18 months in areas outside the DEAs, or 3 years within a DEA; or"</p> <p>Insert new criterion c) to read: "the redevelopment or re-use of all employment generating land and premises would retain or increase the number of jobs permanently provided on the site, and result in wider regeneration benefits."</p> <p>Modify second sentence of paragraph 5.23d to read: "Criterion c will be dependent upon the proposed non employment generating use complying with other policies of the Plan.</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>Add to end of paragraph 5.23d: "The contribution to wider regeneration objectives will be a factor in assessing the proposed redevelopment of employment sites".</p> <p>Replace paragraph 5.23e with: "Industrial Location and Employment Location DEAs are the Borough's most important and main supply of industrial land and premises. Generally it is not considered appropriate for non employment generating uses to be located in these areas.</p> <p>Replace paragraph 5.23f with: "However, there may be an exception where there is evidence of an unsuccessful marketing campaign to sell or let the property as set out in criterion b. The type of evidence the Council will be looking for in terms of marketing for all applications are details of commercial agents used, adverts in publications, conditions of any lease and terms offered to the present or last occupants if applicable."</p>
83	EMP3R	5.61	The reasoned justification for EMP3R should be modified to include an explanation and justification for the 18 month and 3 year time periods selected in EMP3R(b) for unsuccessful marketing.	<p>Agree. Returns from Council owned commercial properties indicates that the average period of vacancy between lettings is 18 months. A period of 3 years to is considered appropriate to allow for the marketing of a property in a DEA for employment use.</p> <p>Add a new penultimate sentence to paragraph 5.23f to read: "Returns from Council owned commercial properties indicates that the average period of vacancy between lettings is 18 months. A period of 3 years is considered appropriate to allow for the marketing of a property in a DEA</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				for employment use.”
		5.62	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 691, 761, 1581, 1617, 1651, 1686 and 2519.	Agree.
83	EMP3R, paragraph 5.23a	5.76	If “wider regeneration benefits and objectives” are not already clearly defined in the plan as giving favourable consideration to housing and mixed use in the terms set down in paragraph 42(a) of the January 2005 PPG Note 3 Housing Update, this explanation should be added to the reasoned justification following EMP3R.	Agree. Define “wider regeneration benefits and objectives” Add new sentence to end of paragraph 5.23d to read: “Wider regeneration objectives are defined in paragraphs 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24 and Policy G10 in Part I of the Plan.”
		5.77	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100309, 100662, 100674, 100764, 100795, 100891, 100892, 100904, 100965, 100977, 101447, 101479 and 101488.	Agree.
84	EMP4, paragraphs 5.25 and 5.25a	5.81	The plan should be modified by deletion of EMP4. The Council should consider whether the reasoned justification paragraphs 5.25-5.25a should be retained and follow paragraphs 5.9a-5.9d, as set out in the RDUDP.	Agree. Delete Policy EMP4. Move paragraphs 5.25 and 5.25a to follow paragraph 5.9d.
85	Paragraph 5.26	5.84	The plan should be modified by deletion of EMP5(c), unless the Council can demonstrate compelling justification for this criterion.	Agree. Delete EMP5(c).
		5.85	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 234.	Agree.
	EMP6	5.90	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “car wash” to	Agree

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			EMP6, should be incorporated into the plan.	
86	EMP6 (f)	5.91	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add "and/or the statutory sewage undertakers as appropriate" to EMP6(f).	Agree. Modify EMP6 (f) to read: "the provision for drainage for any car washing operation is acceptable to the Environment Agency and/or the statutory sewage undertakers as appropriate."
		5.92	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 649, 802, 1385, 1582, 1618, 1652, 1687, 2520 and 10128.	Agree.
	EMP7 (d)	5.102	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete EMP7(d), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	EMP7 (a)	5.103	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change EMP7(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	EMP7 (c)	5.104	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace 40% with 25% in EMP7(c), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree
	EMP1a and paragraph 5.13a	5.105	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to introduce a new paragraph 5.13a and new policy EMP1a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
87	Paragraph 5.36a	5.106	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in 2004, to delete "...managed by a RSL or other management agencies." and insert "...subject to a planning obligation or other means of appropriate control."	Agree. Modify paragraph 5.36a as recommended, "To ensure that the work element is not compromised in the long term the Council requires that live/work units are subject to a planning obligation or other means of appropriate control."
		5.107	No modification should be made to the plan	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			in response to objections 552, 718 and 1815.	
	EMP8	5.109	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete EMP8 and its reasoned justification, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

TOWN CENTRES AND RETAILING

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Schedule 6 and Proposals Map (Map Change 28)	6.4	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to increase the size of Hornsey High Street Local Shopping Centre, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Schedule 6 and Proposals Map (Map Change 11)	6.5	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add Lordship Lane Central to Schedule 6 and the Proposals Map, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Schedule 6 and Proposals Map (Map Change 28)	6.6	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change Hornsey High Street LSC so as to include the proposed food store site, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
192	Schedules 1 and 3.	6.28	Inspector note: in Schedule 3, the Friern Barnet Sewage works site is 6.20ha; in Schedule 1, the same site, as SSP5, is 5.22ha. This discrepancy should be resolved.	Agree. Modify the area of SSP5 in Schedule to 6.20ha. (see Document 3, Schedule 1)
93	Paragraphs 6.12r and 6.13	6.35	Inspector note: there should be a heading before paragraph 6.12r as this paragraph and 6.13 are not part of the text for West Green Road/Seven Sisters DC. This heading could be "Sequential Approach to Site Selection". Also paragraph 6.13 should be modified to take account of PPS6.	Agree. Add heading before paragraph 6.12r to read: "Sequential Approach to Site Selection". See also recommendation 6.44. Modify Paragraph 6.13 to read "The sequential approach to site selection should be undertaken in accordance with paragraph 2.44 of PPS6 'Planning for Town Centres'.
	Paragraph 6.5	6.36	The Council's recommended changes	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			as set out in the RDUDP, to add new paragraphs 6.5a-6.5e, 6.6a-6.6c, 6.7a-6.7c, 6.8a, 6.9a-6.9b, 6.10a and 6.11a, should be incorporated into the plan.	
	Paragraph 6.8	6.37	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add to paragraph 6.8 in order to protect Crouch End retail uses, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
193	Paragraph 6.8	6.38	The plan should be modified to say for site 9 in Schedule 1 that a planning brief for Hornsey Town Hall was adopted in December 2004.	Agree. Modify the Schedule 1 as recommended. (see Document 3, Schedule 1)
	Paragraph 6.9a	6.39	The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP, in paragraph 6.9a and in Map Change 18, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.10	6.40	The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP, to add to paragraph 6.10, to add criterion (c) to TCR5 and new paragraph 6.28a, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.14	6.41	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "including health care facilities" to "Community facilities" in Table 6.1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
91	Paragraph 6.6b	6.42	Paragraph 6.6b should be modified to explain whether the Haringey Heartlands Development Framework would address possible comparison goods provision in The Mall.	Agree. The Haringey Heartlands Development Framework doesn't address this issue. The framework includes an objective to improve the Wood Green Central Library and redevelopment of the Mall, with a new public space onto the High Road. The source of the paragraph is a recommendation from the 2003 Retail Capacity

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Study. Therefore, modify the first sentence of paragraph 6.6b to read: "The 2003 Retail Capacity Study recommends that Wood Green should be the key focus for additional comparison goods floorspace and identifies limited redevelopment opportunities in the centre."
92	Paragraph 6.9	6.43	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add "However the majority of land use should remain in retail, to ensure that the daytime economy and activity is preserved." to paragraph 6.9.	Agree. Modify paragraph 6.9 as recommended.
93 and 95	Paragraph 6.12r	6.44	Paragraph 6.12r should be modified so as to refer to PPS6 in the first sentence. Paragraph 6.12r should be further modified to follow the sequential approach set down in paragraph 2.44 of PPS6, such that retail development locations are considered in the following order: first, existing centres; second, edge-of-centre; and third, out-of-centre.	Agree. Modify paragraph 6.12r to read: "Government advice in PPS6 'Planning for Town Centres' sets out a sequential approach for retail development and other town centre uses. The approach requires that locations in existing centres are considered first, followed by edge-of-centre locations and then out-of-centre locations." As a consequence, remove the word "town" from the title of Policy TCR2, the first sentence of paragraph 6.19 and the first sentence of paragraph 6.19a.
		6.45	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 533, 692, 1389, 1512, 1513, 1514, 1555, 1560, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2271, 100664, 100854, 100872, 100887, 100897 and 101036.	Agree.
88, 89, 90 and 96	Paragraph 6.15	6.54	Chapter 6 title should be modified to become "TOWN CENTRES AND RETAILING". Paragraph 6.5 should be modified as set out in paragraph 6.49. TCR1 should be modified to become	Partially agree. Modify Chapter 6 title to read: "Town Centres and Retailing" There is an inconsistency between policies TCR1 and TRC3. Policy TCR3 refers to 'Main' Town Centres. There is no mention of the

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			<p>"DEVELOPMENT IN MAIN TOWN CENTRES", together with the minor change to the policy wording set out in paragraph 6.48. Schedules 4 and 5 should be modified to refer to named District Centres not Town Centres. The definitions of centres in the Glossary should be modified to follow those given in PPS6.</p>	<p>term 'Main' Town Centre in either PPS6 or the London Plan. Therefore, policy TCR1 should not be modified to refer to 'Main' Town Centres and references to 'Main' Town Centres elsewhere should be deleted (paragraphs 6.5e and title of Policy TCR3).</p> <p>Modify paragraph 6.5 to read: "Haringey has six town centres. There is one Metropolitan Centre at Wood Green. There are five District Centres at Bruce Grove / Tottenham High Road. Crouch End, Green Lanes, Muswell Hill and West Green Road / Seven Sisters. These town centres are defined in Schedules 4 and 5. In addition, there are 37 Local Shopping Centres listed in Schedule 6."</p> <p>Modify Schedules 4 and 5 to refer to 'District Centres' rather than Town Centres for each of the five centres. See Document 3, Schedules 4 and 5).</p> <p>Modify last sentence of the definition of a District Centre in the Glossary to read: The London Plan (2004) identified 156 District Centres in London."</p> <p>Add a definition of Town Centre to the Glossary to read: The designation of Town Centre includes the Wood Green Metropolitan Centre and the five District Centres. The Council has assessed the function of different parts of each centre and has defined Primary and Secondary Frontages."</p>
94	Paragraph 6.16	6.55	<p>The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP, to add "AND LOCAL SHOPPING" to TCR1, and to the policy wording and paragraph 6.16, should be incorporated into the plan. The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add criterion</p>	<p>Agree. Add to the end of the second sentence of paragraph 6.16 to read: and the relationship with its surroundings, "including its traffic impact. The Council will assess the impact of the development on traffic flow, car use and accessibility by other means of transport."</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			(c)i to TCR1, should be incorporated into the plan. Paragraph 6.16 should be modified to include a clear justification for this new traffic impact criterion, covering factors identified in paragraph 6.50.	
	TCR1	6.56	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "character" to TCR1(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
94	TCR1	6.57	The plan should be modified by adding "or other centres" after "centre" in TCR1(b).	Agree. Modify TCR1(b) to read: "does not harm the vitality and viability of the centre or other centres;
		6.58	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1366.	Agree.
95	Paragraph 6.19a	6.66	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add new paragraph 6.19a, should be incorporated into the plan. The plan should be modified by adding in the missing word in paragraph 6.19a between "retail" and "may".	Agree. Add the word "development" in between "retail" and "may" in the first sentence of paragraph 6.19a.
	TCR2	6.67	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to modify TCR2(e), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	TCR2	6.68	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "including by bike and foot" to TCR2(d), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
95	Paragraph 6.18	6.69	The plan should be modified by amending paragraph 6.18 so that it makes sense, and can be readily applied to TCR2.	Partially agree. Paragraph is unnecessary as it duplicates other paragraphs. Delete the paragraph.
		6.70	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 431 and 101317.	Agree.
96 and 97	Paragraph 6.21	6.83	Paragraph 6.21 should be modified in order to clearly explain how the primary and secondary frontages were identified in centres such as Muswell Hill and Wood Green.	Agree. Add sentences to the end of paragraph 6.21 to read: "Within the primary frontages, A1 retail is the principal and dominant land-use. Usually it contains the most important shopping facilities, those which attract the greatest number of customers and which contribute most to the vitality of the centre. Primary frontages are defined in Schedule 4. Secondary frontages contain a variety of service and other uses in addition to A1 retail. These frontages support the primary frontage of a centre. Secondary frontages are defined in Schedule 5." As a consequence, modify Policy TCR3 and paragraph 6.21 to refer to "primary frontage" and "secondary frontage"
	TCR3	6.84	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to modify TCR3(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.21	6.85	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace "is" with "may be" in paragraph 6.21, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	TCR3	6.86	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "normally 3 frontages" to TCR3(b), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
98	Paragraph 6.21a	6.87	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete "in a row" and insert "adjoining" between "2" and "frontages" in paragraph 6.21a.	Agree. Modify the last sentence of paragraph 6.21a to read: Furthermore, to preserve the viability and vitality of the primary and secondary frontages, no more than 2 "adjoining" frontages should be in non A1 use.
		6.88	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 803, 1008, 1315, 1517 and 100905.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.26	6.93	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "including health and police facilities" to paragraph 6.26, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.24	6.94	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a sentence to paragraph 6.24, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 6.23	6.95	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 144 and 100780.	Agree.
99	TCR5	6.99	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change to add "litter" after "mitigate" in TCR5(a).	Agree. Modify TCR5(a) as recommended (see Inspector's recommendation 6.100).
99	TCR5	6.100	The plan should be modified by deleting "and appearance" from TCR5(a) and removing the SPG6 reference from TCR5 to the reasoned justification.	Agree. Modify TCR5(a) to read: the effectiveness of measures to mitigate litter, undue smell, odours and noise from the premises; Move the second part of the policy to follow the last sentence of paragraph 6.28.

MOVEMENT

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		7.3	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1329 and 1411.	Agree.
6, 8, 13, 27, 101, 158 and 171	Paragraph 7.3	7.12	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to expand the first Key Objective in paragraph 7.3, should be incorporated into the plan. <i>Inspector note: there were no objections to the inconsistent way the Movement Objectives in Part One Strategic Policies failed to match the Key Objectives in Chapter 7. But despite the absence of objections, these errors should be resolved. This inconsistency was found in other chapters.</i>	<p>Agree. See also response to recommendation 1.105. Modify Key Objectives to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To support and promote transport improvements where it would improve safety of all road users including pedestrians and cyclists, enhance residential amenity and complement land development and regeneration strategies. • <u>Reduce the need to travel by car and promote more sustainable transport choices for local residents and local businesses</u> • Improve freight movement, while minimising the environmental impact. • To balance the need for parking and the environmental impact of traffic movement and parked cars. <p>Delete the fifth objective.</p> <p>The inconsistency between objectives in Part I and Part II of the Plan is noted. Therefore, as a consequence, modify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Objective 1 to reflect Key Objective in paragraph 3.3 of Environment Chapter • Move existing Objective 1 under Design heading (to be modified as "Development and Urban" Design) • Modify second Key Objective in paragraph 2.5 in Development and Urban Design Chapter to match existing Objective 2. • Modify Objective 11 to match first Movement Key Objective.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modify the third Key Objective of the Conservation Chapter to match Objective 21. Modify the second Key Objective of the Implementation, Monitoring and Review Chapter to match Objective 23
	Paragraph 7.3	7.13	Paragraph 7.3 should be modified such that the second Strategic Objective is the same as Objective 12 as considered in paragraph 1.105 of the Strategic Policies chapter.	Agree, see recommendation 7.12 above.
100	Paragraph 7.1	7.14	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 21/6/05, to add "They also aim to promote a comfortable balance between a full range of travel modes and the streetscape, as detailed in the Council adopted "Living Streets Manifesto" to paragraph 7.1.	Agree. Add a new sentence to paragraph 7.1 after the third sentence to read: "They also aim to promote a comfortable balance between a full range of travel modes and the streetscape, as detailed in the Council adopted "Living Streets Manifesto."
	Paragraph 7.1	7.15	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 670.	Agree.
12 and 102	M1	7.42	Inspector note: the approach in the HUDP to including transport schemes for which there is no relevant commitment, as revealed in the Council's response to the last objection [1089 and 101678 in relation the Victoria Line Extension] may apply to other schemes in M1. These should be deleted as well if they are included for spurious reasons.	Agree. The following proposals should remain in Policy M1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> East London Line extension – Reason: TfL commitment Crossrail One - Reason: Included in London Plan Thameslink 2000 - Reason: Included in London Plan Crossrail Two - Reason: Included in London Plan Orbirail - Reason: Included in London Plan Improved orbital movement on North Circular Road (public transport and highway) - Reason: TfL commitment Improvements to the Tottenham gyratory - Reason: Mayoral support

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvements at Finsbury Park station in conjunction with the neighbouring boroughs of Islington and Hackney - Reason: TfL commitment <p>The following proposals are deleted from Policy M1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Victoria Line Extension to Northumberland Park (Document 3, Map Change 13) • West Anglia Route Modernisation Enhancement (Document 3, Map Change 14) • Improved orbital public transport (Document 3, Map Change 15) • A10/A1010 route (Document 3, Map Change 16) <p>Although these proposals are deleted from M1 and the Proposals Map, the Council would support these schemes should proposals come forward within the Plan period.</p> <p>In addition, add a new paragraph following Policy M1 to read: "The transport proposals in Policy M1 are either included in the London Plan, or are a Transport for London commitment. A brief description of the road improvements is provided in Schedule 7. The Council would also support the extension of the Victoria Line to Northumberland Park, West Anglia Route Development (formerly known as WARME), improvements to the A10/A1010 and improvements to orbital public transport should firm proposals come forward within the Plan period."</p>
102	M1	7.51	The plan should be modified by changing the confusing lettering or the two sets of criteria in M1.	Agree. Modify Policy M1 as recommended. See also recommendation 7.42 above.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
21 and 102	M1, Schedule 7 and Map Change 24	7.52	<p>The plan should be modified by deleting the references to "Improved access to Haringey Heartlands" from M1 (a), and to "Hornsey Park Diversion" in Schedule 7 and the Proposals Map. The plan should be modified by the addition of a reference to the Haringey Heartlands access route in the reasoned justification following both AC1 and M1, explaining its up-to-date status and financial and implementation prospects. This recommendation is conditional on whether the Council receives an unequivocal and firm commitment of finance for this scheme. If it does, most of this recommendation should be withdrawn. The Hornsey Park Diversion recommendation remains regardless of the financial commitment argument.</p>	<p>Agree. The Council notes that the recommendation is conditional on whether it receives unequivocal and firm financial commitment for the Heartlands access route proposal. The Council has received confirmation from the ODPM/Department for Transport that £5m has been allocated from the Community Infrastructure Fund for the construction of the road. The funding period runs from 1 April 2006 to 31 March 2008. Construction of the road will begin by 2008.</p> <p>Therefore, the Council agrees that most of recommendation 7.52 can be withdrawn. "Hornsey Park Diversion" is deleted from Schedule 7. (see Document 3, Schedule 7)</p> <p>The precise alignment of the route is not yet known and is subject to a Master Plan Supplementary Planning Document and a planning application. Therefore, following the advice in paragraph 5.22 of PPG12 an area is defined on the Proposals Map which will be safeguarded.</p> <p>Therefore, modify Policy M1 to ensure that land for the access route is safeguarded. Therefore, the second part Policy M1 reads: "The Council will protect land for transport and transport support functions unless the land is no longer required and unlikely to be required in the future. Land is safeguarded for improved road access to Haringey Heartlands, as shown on the Proposals Map."</p> <p>The safeguarded area is defined on the Proposals Map (see Document 3, Map Change 12).</p> <p>In addition, modify the reasoned justification following policy</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>AC1 to refer to the proposed route and its current status.</p> <p>Add a new paragraph 1.9a to read: "A Haringey Heartlands Development Framework was adopted as supplementary planning guidance in April 2005. The framework aims to bring about comprehensive development that regenerates the area. It also aims to improve transport infrastructure and access to, around and through the area. Therefore, a north-south access route is proposed which links Station Road via Western Road to Hornsey Park Road via Clarendon Road. The Council has received Government funding for the route. The precise alignment of the route is subject to a Master Plan Supplementary Planning Document and a planning application. Land is safeguarded for the proposed route, as shown on the Proposals Map."</p> <p>The Inspector notes in paragraph 7.45 of his report that Schedule 7: Road Proposals is incomplete. Therefore, "Improvements to the Tottenham Gyratory" and "Improved access to Haringey Heartlands" are added to Schedule 7 (see Document 3, Schedule 7).</p>
194	M1	7.53	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change M1(e) [Tottenham], should be incorporated into the plan. The reasoned justification should be modified to include an explanation of the relevant improvements.	Agree. Modify Schedule 7 to include an explanation of the proposal. (see Document 3, Schedule 7)
103	M1	7.54	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add criterion M1(f) [Finsbury Park], should be incorporated into the plan. The reasoned justification should	Agree. Add a sentence to the end of paragraph 7.6 to read: "The improvements to the interchange at Finsbury Park station include a new gallery on Station Place, better access between modes of transport and improved safety and

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			be modified to include an explanation of the relevant improvements.	accessibility for pedestrians and cyclists.”
102	M1	7.55	Modification of M1 (b) [orbital public transport] should only be made, if it is accompanied by clear explanation of the schemes proposed plus clear explanation of firm commitment from the relevant delivery agency.	Agree. Delete M1(b) Improved orbital public transport .
102	M1	7.56	The plan should be modified by deletion of M1(e) (Victoria Line Extension)	Agree. Delete M1(e) Victoria Line Extension.
103	Paragraph 7.6	7.57	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change made by delegated authority dated 21/6/05 to change paragraph 7.6 by replacing the first sentence with “The Council will support the retention, improvement and extension of transport services and facilities to support the creation of a safe, affordable and reliable public transport system that is fully integrated between all modes.”	Agree. Modify the first sentence of paragraph 7.6 to read: “The Council will support the retention, improvement and extension of transport services and facilities to support the creation of a safe, affordable and reliable public transport system that is fully integrated between all modes.”
		7.58	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 3, 40, 658, 669, 1524, 2323 and 100653.	Agree.
104	M2	7.63	The plan should be modified by the recommended change to M2 by delegated authority dated 22/2/05, provided that this support for bus networks and bus priority measures can be readily applied to land use planning decisions and therefore explained in the reasoned justification.	Agree. Modify Policy M2 to read: “The Council will require that developers consider the needs of public transport users in the design of new developments and roads. The Council will also support the continued development of the London bus network and bus priority measures.”

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Add new paragraph 7.8a to read: "The provision of new bus services and enhancements to existing bus services can support higher density developments at locations well served by public transport as well as influencing on-site car park provision. Greater bus service reliability and speed can be achieved through bus priority measures, which can complement bus service provision."
	Paragraph 7.8	7.64	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to mention the new sentence about ".....access for wheelchair users" in paragraph 7.8, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		7.65	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1094 and 1525.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.10	7.83	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add to paragraph 7.10, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.11	7.84	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete the last sentence in paragraph 7.11, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.12	7.85	The Council's recommended changes, to add Green Lanes cycle route and cycle routes in the vicinity of Tottenham Marshes to Map 7.1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
105	M3	7.86	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change by delegated authority dated 7/9/05 to recommend a new policy "M3A:	Agree. See also Inspector's recommendation 2.106 Insert a new policy M3A before paragraph 7.12 to read: "M3A: PROTECTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CREATION

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			PROTECTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CREATION OF PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE ROUTES".	OF PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE ROUTES The Council will support the protection, improvement and creation of pedestrian and cycle routes in the borough to encourage walking and cycling both as a means of transport and as a recreational activity. The Council will also encourage improved links between pedestrian and cycle routes and public transport facilities."
		7.87	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 10, 226, 555, 636, 734, 1165, 1454, 1691 and 101130.	Agree.
	M4	7.96	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "including taxis" to M4(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	M4	7.97	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "with a local or strategic role" in M4(g), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.15	7.98	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a sentence to paragraph 7.15 which calls for walking, cycling and public transport to be provided for at new junctions, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.15	7.99	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a sentence to paragraph 7.15 which deals with mitigating effects of road schemes and the need for EIAs, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
106	Paragraph	7.100	The plan should be modified by	Agree. Modify paragraph 7.15 as recommended.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	7.15		incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to add "the local community and" after "may have on" in paragraph 7.15.	
		7.101	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 5, 954 and 99954.	Agree.
107	M5 (e)	7.103	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change, by delegated authority dated 21/6/05 to add criterion (e) to M5.	Agree. Modify criterion e) of Policy M5 to read: "e) located and designed to minimise any adverse impact on the strategic road network."
108	M6	7.114	The plan should be modified by replacing M6 with: "Proposals for new residential development without the provision of car parking will be permitted in locations where: (1) there are alternative and accessible means of transport available; (2) public transport accessibility is entirely satisfactory; and (3) controlled parking zones exist or will be provided." The reasoned justification should explain how the Council intend to manage the problems of control of car parking in these areas.	<p>Partially agree. The recommended criterion 2) is vague. Car-free development is appropriate in areas of good public transport accessibility. Also a strengthening of recommended criterion 3) is needed to ensure that planned CPZs are implemented prior to the occupation of the development.</p> <p>With regard to the reasoned justification, paragraph 7.19 mentions that car-free housing will only be developed within existing or planned controlled parking zones and residents of car-free developments will not be eligible for residential parking permits. An additional sentence is required to state that the Council may extend CPZs to deal with a potential problem of displaced parking.</p> <p>Therefore, modify Policy M6 to read: "Proposals for new residential development without the provision of car parking will be permitted in locations where: a) there are alternative and accessible means of transport available; b) public transport accessibility is good; and c) a controlled parking zone exists or will be provided prior to occupation of the development."</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Add sentence after third sentence of paragraph 7.19 to read: "Although residents of car-free housing are unlikely to walk a long distance from their home to access their parked car on a street without parking controls, the Council will seek, in the longer term, extensions to existing controlled parking zones."
	Paragraph 7.19	7.115	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to explain possible use of s.106 agreements in improving public transport provision, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
108	Paragraph 5.19	7.116	The plan should be modified such that how and where parking provision and access for elderly and disabled visitors to M6 developments would be made is explained clearly in the reasoned justification.	Agree. Permits are available for visitors within a Controlled Parking Zone. H.2 Disabled Parking in Appendix Parking Standards states that disabled parking is only required for wheelchair accessible housing. Add sentence after first sentence in paragraph 7.19 to read: "Appropriately located on-site disabled parking will be required for wheelchair accessible homes. In addition, people with disabilities may also be eligible for a parking permit".
		7.117	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 89, 655, 693, 1554 and 1600.	Agree.
	M7	7.121	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to mention use of rail and water transport for construction work in M7, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.22	7.122	The Council should reconsider its response to Network Rail's proposal for rail freight	Agree. The Inspector concludes (in paragraph 2.1) that it would be inappropriate to safeguard Ferme Park Sidings for

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			facilities south of Hornsey Station via Cranford Way.	rail related purposes without a detailed examination of the proposal. There is no certainty of a scheme coming forward and as such it would be inappropriate to include it in the Plan. Policy M7 gives adequate support for new rail facilities. Therefore, no modification is made.
		7.123	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 953.	Agree.
	Paragraph 7.23	7.125	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "including taxi facilities" to paragraph 7.8, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

OPEN SPACE

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Schedule 10	8.11	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add Stationers Park to the SLOL designations in Schedule 10, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Schedule 11	8.12	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace OS4 with OS5 in Schedule 11, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
195	Proposals Map	8.13	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to delete the nature conservation butterfly symbol from the Paddock.	Agree. Delete the Local Nature Reserve 'butterfly' symbol from the Paddock on the Proposals Map. (See Document 3, Map Change 5.)
	OS10	8.14	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new sentence to OS10 dealing with protected and priority species, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
144	Map 8.1	8.15	The plan should be modified so that the reasoned justification should refer to the actual document that Map 8.1 is based on, to the minimum size of open space accounted for, and to how open space deficiencies should be tackled in relation to local open space standards. [See Inspector note paragraph 8.19 below]	Agree. Source of Map 8.1 is added to read: "Haringey Open Space and Sports Assessment – Volume 1: A Strategic Open Space Assessment." A footnote will refer to the minimum size of open space assessed (see also response to Inspector's recommendation 8.287). This footnote to read: "Only land which was more than 0.25ha (0.7 acres) was surveyed as this is the threshold that is recommended in the Mayor of London's 'Best Practice Guide to Preparing Open Space Strategies'." See Document 3, Map Change 19.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		8.16	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 644 and 1775.	Agree.
		8.19	Inspector note: PPG17 paragraphs 7 and 8 call for local authorities to set local open space standards. These standards should be included in development plans. There do not appear to be any recognisable local open space standards in the HUDP – none were submitted to the inquiry. The HUDP key open space objective refers to a “satisfactory level of easily accessed open space” without explaining what this means. Therefore the reference to [based on local standards] may have to be deleted. For unknown reasons, this need for local standards was recognised, but not pursued with any clarity in the Atkins Open Space and Sports Assessment in 2003. This task should be high on the Council's list of action in its preparation of Haringey's Open Space Strategy and for future development plan documents. It may be the case that the Council can produce local open space standards for inclusion in this HUDP	Agree. The issue of local open space standards is being addressed as part of the Council's Haringey's Open Space Strategy. In the time frame available they cannot be included in the UDP, but will be included in a future Development Plan Document. (See Inspector's recommendation 8.30).
	Paragraph 8.1	8.29	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add “children's recreation” after “biodiversity” in paragraph 8.1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
109	Paragraph 8.1	8.30	The plan should be modified by the addition of “Existing open space should	Agree. Insert new sentence after the fifth sentence in paragraph 8.1 to read:

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			not be built on unless an assessment shows the open space is surplus to requirements [based on local standards].” after “paramount” in paragraph 8.1. The plan should also be modified by adding an explanation as to when the Council will define local open space standards that can be applied to planning decisions.	“Existing open spaces should not be built on unless an assessment shows the open space is surplus to requirements. A forthcoming Haringey Open Space Strategy (HOSS) will include local open space standards. These standards will be included in a future Development Plan Document.”
136	OS10	8.31	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add to OS10.	Agree. Add to end of the first sentence of Policy OS10: “Such enhancements are particularly important in areas deficient in accessible natural green space.”
14 and 110	Paragraph 8.3	8.32	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add “environmental value or amenity value” after “nature conservation value” in the second Key Objective in paragraph 8.3.	<p>Agree. Modify the second Key Objective to read: “Ensure that the flora and fauna with nature conservation value, environmental value, or amenity value in the borough is protected and encouraged, and that the provision helps to meet the aims of the Haringey Biodiversity Action Plan (Draft 2002).</p> <p>As a consequence, modify Objective 16 in Part I so that it matches the modified key objective.</p> <p>It is also noted that the first key objective does not match Objective 15. Split Objective 15 in two to read:</p> <p>“Objective 15: To protect and promote a network of open space. Objective 15a: To maintain a satisfactory level of easily accessed open space in the borough with a variety of uses.”</p> <p>Modify the first Key Objective to match.</p>
		8.33	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1737,	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			10064, 101037, 101320, 101361 and 101460.	
	Proposals Map and Schedule 11	8.53	The Council's recommended change, to add the allotment land by Queens Wood to the Proposals Map as an Ecologically Valuable Site of Metropolitan Importance, should be incorporated into the plan	No modification required. The old allotment land next to Summersby Road is identified on the Proposals as an Ecologically Valuable Site of Metropolitan Importance.
	Paragraph 8.10f	8.54	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace FDUDP paragraph 8.10 with RDUDP paragraph 8.10f, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.10d	8.55	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add the 4 th bullet point to paragraph 8.10d, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.8	8.56	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to transfer FDUDP paragraph 8.8 so that it becomes paragraph 8.10d, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
111	OS1B	8.57	Then plan should be modified to include "When assessing development proposals on MOL, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the MOL." in the reasoned justification following OS1B.	Agree. Add a new paragraph 8.10g to read: "When assessing development proposals on MOL, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the MOL."
112	Paragraph 8.10e	8.58	The plan should be modified such that "Such appropriate development will only be acceptable where it does not have an	Agree. Modify paragraph 8.10e to add: "Such appropriate development will only be acceptable where it does not have an adverse impact on the open character of

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			adverse impact on the open character of the land" is inserted into paragraph 8.10e as the second sentence.	the land".
		8.59	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 6, 642, 656, 666, 725, 917, 10068, 1297, 1431, 1777, 1821 and 2555.	Agree.
113	OS1A	8.66	<p>The plan should be modified so that OS1A in the delegated authority change dated 7/9/05 is replaced by the following:</p> <p>"The openness of the Green Belt as shown on the Proposals Map will be preserved. The character and quality of Green Belt will be safeguarded. There is a general presumption against inappropriate development; such development will only be approved in very special circumstances. Within the Green Belt planning permission will not be granted for development other than:</p> <p>(a) The construction of a new building for one of the following purposes:</p> <p>(i) agriculture or forestry;</p> <p>(ii) essential facilities for outdoor sport or recreation, for cemeteries or for other uses of land which preserve the openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with its purposes;</p> <p>(iii) limited infilling or redevelopment of major existing developed sites.</p> <p>(b) The re-use of existing buildings within the Green Belt provided that the proposal:</p> <p>(i) does not have a materially greater</p>	Agree. Replace Policy OS1A as recommended.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			<p>impact than the present use on the openness of the Green Belt, or on the fulfilment of its purposes;</p> <p>(ii) is for a building of permanent and substantial construction which is capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction;</p> <p>(iii) is for a building with a form, bulk and general design which is in keeping with its surroundings;</p> <p>(iv) does not include a building extension or associated uses of land around the building which might conflict with the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land in it.”</p>	
114	Paragraph 8.10b	8.67	<p>The plan should be modified by adding “When assessing development proposals on Green Belt, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the Green Belt.” to the reasoned justification following OS1A. The plan should also be modified by including a reference to Schedule 9 in the reasoned justification following OS1A</p>	<p>Agree. Modify paragraph 8.10b to read: “When assessing development proposals on Green Belt, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the Green Belt. Green Belt in the borough is identified on the Proposals Map and in Schedule 9.”</p>
		8.68	<p>No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100997 and 101131.</p>	<p>Agree.</p>
115	OS1B	8.76	<p>The plan should be modified such that the following policy OS1B replaces the recommended OS1B:</p>	<p>Agree. Replace Policy OS1B as recommended.</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			<p>“The openness of MOL as shown on the Proposals Map will be preserved. The character and quality of MOL will be safeguarded. Development which is inappropriate will not be given planning permission except in very special circumstances. Limited development serving the needs of the visiting public may be permitted, if clearly ancillary to the identified purposes of MOL. Within MOL planning permission will not be granted for development other than:</p> <p>(a) The construction of a new building for one of the following purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) agriculture or forestry; (ii) essential facilities for outdoor sport or recreation, for cemeteries or for other uses of land which preserve the openness of the MOL and do not conflict with its purposes; (iii) limited infilling or redevelopment of major existing developed sites. <p>(b) The re-use of existing buildings within MOL provided that the proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) does not have a materially greater impact than the present use on the openness of the MOL, or on the fulfilment of its purposes; (ii) is for a building of permanent and substantial construction which is capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction; (iii) is for a building with a form, bulk and 	

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			general design which is in keeping with its surroundings; (iv) does not include a building extension or associated uses of land around the building which might conflict with the openness of the MOL and the purposes of including land in it."	
116	OS1B	8.77	The plan should be modified by deletion of the section in the delegated authority recommended change dated 7/9/05 starting "Appropriate uses on designated MOL are: (a)(h) Playgrounds and play facilities." The plan should also be modified by referring to Schedule 9 in the reasoned justification following OS1B.	Agree. This section of the Policy OS1B is replaced by the modified wording – see Inspector's recommendation 8.76. Modify paragraph 8.10f to add: "Metropolitan Open Land is identified on the Proposals Map and in Schedule 9."
		8.78	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 100767.	Agree.
117	Paragraph 8.12b	8.99	The plan should be modified by adding "SLOL designation means that designated sites have one or more of the following values: recreational, biodiversity, amenity and landscape." to paragraph 8.12b in the RDUDP.	Agree. Modify paragraph 8.12b to add: "SLOL designation means that designated sites have one or more of the following values: recreational, biodiversity, amenity and landscape."
196	Schedule 10 and Proposals Map.	8.100	The plan should be modified by including Weir Hall Close open space in Schedule 10 as SLOL and on the Proposals Map. The plan should be modified by deleting the Tree House Trust building and car park from the SLOL designation – site 6 in Schedule 10. The plan should be modified by including Belmont Recreation Ground in Schedule 10 as SLOL and on the Proposals Map.	Agree. Modify the Plan by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • adding Weir Hall Road open space as SLOL to the Proposals Map and Schedule 10 (see Document 3, Map Change 6 and Schedule 10) • adding Belmont Recreation Ground as SLOL to the Proposals Map and Schedule 10 (see Document 3, Map Change 7 and Schedule 10) • Modifying the boundaries of Fortis Green Reservoir and Playing Field SLOL to delete the Tree House Trust

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Building and its car park (see Document 3, Map Change 8 and Schedule 10).
118	OS2A	8.101	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to reinstate the original OS2.	Agree. Reinstate Policy OS2 (First Deposit Draft September 2003) to replace Policy OS2A as recommended.
119	OS2A	8.102	The plan should be modified by adding "When assessing development proposals on SLOL land, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the SLOL land." to the reasoned justification following OS2.	Agree. Add a paragraph following paragraph 8.12c to read: "When assessing development proposals on SLOL land, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may override the need to protect the open character of the SLOL land."
		8.103	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 546, 639, 729, 730, 736, 1064, 1186, 1235, 1304, 1320, 1321, 1323, 1324, 1325, 1377, 1420, 1429, 1439, 1464, 1486, 1489 1744 and 1843.	Agree.
		8.107	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 101322.	Agree.
124	Paragraph 8.14a	8.123	The plan should be modified by inclusion of the new paragraph 8.14a as recommended in the RDUDP but worded in the following way: "The Palace's proximity to Wood Green Town Centre makes it appropriate for mixed-use schemes, primarily for arts, cultural and entertainment uses. These schemes	Agree. Modify paragraph 8.14a in the RDUDP to read: "The Palace's proximity to Wood Green Metropolitan Centre makes it appropriate for mixed-use schemes, primarily for arts, culture and entertainment uses. These schemes should have no adverse impact on the Town Centre."

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			should have no adverse impact on the Town Centre.”	
	OS3e and paragraph 8.14a	8.124	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change OS3(e) and add new paragraph 8.14a as modified above, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
121	OS3 (i)	8.125	The plan should be modified by replacing “major” with “significant” in OS3(i).	Agree. Modify Policy OS3 (i) as recommended.
120	OS3 (b)	8.126	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to make two additions to OS3(b), but with “form” replacing “forum”, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Policy OS3 (b) as recommended.
122 and 123	OS3 (h) and paragraph 8.14	8.127	The plan should be modified to clarify which part of the Palace OS3(h) is regarded as the “height of the building”. The reasoned justification should explain why this criterion is imposed.	Agree. Modify Policy OS3 (h) to read: “Not result in the height of the existing main ridge line of the roof of the Palace being exceeded.” Add a new paragraph to follow paragraph 8.14 to read: “For the avoidance of doubt criterion h) applies to the height of the main ridge line of the roof of the Palace and not the gable, at the front of the Palace, or the tower and mast. The outline of the palace is an important feature from various viewpoints both within and beyond the borough boundary. It is important that the silhouette of this listed building is preserved.”
		8.128	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 445, 657, 1284, 1455, 1477, 1779, 1781, 1782, 1783, 101432, 101435 and 101438.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
127	OS4	8.140	The plan should be modified to include "When assessing development proposals on land adjacent to Green Belt, MOL or SLOL, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may be found to override the need to protect the visual character of the land." in the reasoned justification following OS4	Agree. Add a new paragraph after paragraph 8.16 to read: "When assessing development proposals on land adjacent to Green Belt, MOL or SLOL, the operational needs of utility companies should be taken into account. In particular cases, the essential need for new infrastructure may be found to override the need to protect the visual character of the land."
125	OS4	8.141	The plan should be modified by replacing "preserves or enhances" with "protects or enhances" in OS4.	Agree. Modify OS4 as recommended.
125 and 126	OS4	8.142	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace the OS4 title with "DEVELOPMENT ADJACENT TO OPEN SPACES", should be incorporated into the plan. The plan should also be modified by changing OS4 to include "any other valuable open land" after "Significant Local Open Land" and paragraph 8.16 should be modified to say "Development close to any valuable open land boundary...."	Agree. Modify OS4 as recommended. Modify paragraph 8.16 as recommended.
		8.143	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 46, 247, 717, 735, 989 and 1745.	Agree.
	Schedule 11	8.158	Inspector note: the comments of the GLA biodiversity adviser should have some credibility. He questions the inclusion of the electricity sub-station site in Schedule	The Council considers that in this case the small electricity sub-station site should be washed over with the Ecologically Valuable Site designation, rather than delete it as this would result in an awkward boundary to the site. The GLA's Ecology

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			11 and the Proposals Map and suggests it is an error. The Council should examine this point.	Officer agrees with this approach.
197	Schedule 11	8.159	Inspector's note: He [the GLA Biodiversity Advisor] also questions the Council's former Conservation Officer's view that all allotments should be Ecological Sites of Local Importance. These allotments are not identified on the Proposals Map, although Schedule 11 states "Site 43: Allotments across the Borough". This is confusing as it is not at all clear what Site 43 means in practical land use terms. To confuse matters further, the Atkins Assessment, in paragraph 8.63, says 15 of 28 allotment sites in Haringey have Ecological Importance. This confusion should also be resolved by the Council before this plan is adopted.	Agree. The GLA Ecological Survey identifies thirteen allotments in Haringey that have ecological value. These are already identified as Sites of Local Importance on the Proposals Map. The allotments north of New River Sports Centre are included in the Site of Local Importance, "Site 35", in Schedule 11. Therefore, modify Schedule 11 to delete "Site 43" and include the twelve previously unlisted allotments. See Document 3, Schedule 11.
128 and 129	Proposals Map	8.170	The plan should be modified by deleting operational railway land from Green/Ecological Corridors on the Proposals Map.	Partially agree. However, rather than deleting operational railway land from Green Corridors on the Proposals Map, the Council has reconsidered objection OS5/45/430 and considers that Policy OS5 should be modified to acknowledge that transport development in these locations may be appropriate. Therefore, modify Policy OS5 to read: "Development for operational transport needs in the Green Corridors may be acceptable, if it can be shown that there are no alternative locations and there is an essential need for the development."

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				Add a new paragraph after Policy OS5 to read: "Most of the Green Corridors are operational railway land. When transport development is being considered within a Green Corridor the operational requirements of transport companies will be taken into account."
131	OS5	8.171	The plan should be modified by adding "Utility development which would be likely to harm the nature conservation value of an ecologically valuable site would only be permitted if the importance of the development outweighs the value of the ecological site." to the reasoned justification following OS5.	Agree. Add a new paragraph after paragraph 8.20 to read: "Utility development which would be likely to harm the nature conservation value of an ecologically valuable site would only be permitted if the importance of the development outweighs the value of the ecological site."
198	OS5, SSP5, EMP2 and DEA6	8.172	The plan should be modified by a careful explanation as to how the competing policies – EMP2/DEA6 plus SSP40 and OS5 - applying to the Friern Barnet Sewage Treatment Works should be resolved. This explanation could follow either EMP2 or OS5, in the reasoned justification.	Agree. SSP40 is deleted. Modify Schedule 1 to indicate policy designations for each site specific proposal. See Document 3, Schedule 1. Modify the "Proposal" heading for SSP5 in Schedule 1 to read: "Employment generating uses subject to no adverse effect on the nature conservation value of the site."
199	Schedule 11	8.173	The plan should be modified by deletion of the Potential Local Nature Reserves in Schedule 11, and the inclusion of Green Corridors.	Agree. Modify Schedule 11 to delete the Potential Local Nature Reserves. Modify Schedule 11 to include Green Corridors. See Document 3, Schedule 11.
	OS5	8.174	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace "Ecological Corridor" with "Green Corridor", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
200	Schedule 12 and Proposals Map	8.175	The Council should check the validity of the Ecologically Valuable Site of Borough Importance Grade II between Barratt Avenue and Wolseley Road and then make the appropriate modifications.	Agree. The site in question is included in Schedule 11 as Site 17 - "Palace Gates". Modify Schedule 11, to rename Site 17 in Schedule 11 as "Former Railway Lands, Park Avenue, N22." See Document 3, Schedule 11.
	Paragraph 8.20	8.176	The plan should be modified by deletion of the last sentence of paragraph 8.20 as set out in the RDUDP.	Agree.
128	OS5	8.177	The plan should be modified by adding a second criterion to OS5 which says: "and (2) unless the importance of the development outweighs the nature conservation value of the site". The first criterion in OS5 should be worded as follows ".....ecological importance, (1) unless there will be no adverse effect on the nature conservation value of the site,".	Agree. Modify Policy OS5 as recommended.
130	Paragraph 8.20	8.178	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace the EVS definition in paragraph 8.20, should be incorporated into the plan but ensuring that "being" is replaced by "to be".	Agree. Modify the first sentence of paragraph 8.20 to read: "An ecologically valuable site is one that supports a range of flora and fauna considered to be of ecological value and nature conservation Importance to the borough".
		8.179	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 547, 559, 637, 912, 1054, 1175, 1292, 1430, 1586, 1622, 1654, 1699, 1738, 100740 and 101324.	Agree.
132 and 133	Paragraph 8.22	8.185	The plan should be modified by a careful explanation of when, how and why the 34 parks and gardens of local historic interest were identified and whether this list was	Agree. Insert a new sentence to follow the first sentence of paragraph 8.22, to read: "Following collaborative research with the London Historic Parks and Garden Trust, the Council has identified a number

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			entirely derived from the London Parks and Garden Trust Inventory	<p>of parks, gardens, cemeteries and churchyards of local historic interest, which are listed in Schedule 13.</p> <p>Modify the second sentence to read: "The purpose of these designations is to ensure that development and change affecting the historic or landscape character or setting of these parks and gardens should be carried out in a planned way taking account of the local historic and landscape importance of the park or garden."</p> <p>Insert new sentences following the second sentence of paragraph 8.22 to read: "Although the designation of an historic park in itself brings no additional statutory protection, the Council is required to make provision for the protection of the historic environment in planning policies and in the allocation of resources. Registration is a material consideration in planning terms (see paragraph 2.24 of Planning Policy Guidance Note 15). Therefore, the Council will take into account the historic interest of a site when determining an application for development affecting a registered park or garden."</p> <p>As a consequence, delete the last sentence of Policy OS6.</p>
		8.186	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1739, 1784 and 1811.	Agree.
134	OS7	8.189	The plan should be modified by the Council explaining in the reasoned justification how Heritage Land relates to MOL, and why the two designations are considered necessary in the HUDP for land use planning reasons.	Agree. The designation 'Heritage Land' relates to Highgate Golf Course and its strategic visual, historic and nature conservation importance as part of a wider area encompassing Hampstead Heath. The land is also Metropolitan Open Land, an Ecologically Valuable Site of Borough Importance Grade II and forms part of Highgate Conservation Area. It is considered Policy OS7 is required to

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>reflect its strategic importance which is complemented by local designations and policies.</p> <p>The reasoned justification to Policy OS7 should be modified. Modify paragraph 8.26 to read: Heritage Land is open land of strategic significance for its landscape, open character, historical and nature conservation interest.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 8.27 to read: In Haringey, Highgate Golf Course has been identified as Heritage Land.”</p> <p>Add a new sentence to read: “Highgate Golf Course is also Metropolitan Open Land, is an Ecologically Valuable Site of Borough Importance Grade II and forms part of Highgate Conservation Area. In determining planning applications to develop on or in proximity to the land, the Council will have regard to its strategic importance in addition to its local landscape, historical and nature conservation value.”</p>
		8.190	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1238.	Agree.
	OS8r and paragraph 8.29b	8.199	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace OS8 and 8.29 with OS8r and 8.29b, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree
	Schedule 8r	8.200	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace Schedule 8 with Schedule 8R, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		8.201	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 28, 947, 948, 1263 and 101044.	Agree.
135	OS9	8.213	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to introduce OS9(ei) at para 8.30 without the words "developing and", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Policy OS9 as recommended.
	OS9(a)	8.214	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete "developing and" from OS9(a), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	OS9	8.215	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "which may or may not be accessible" to OS9, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		8.216	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 240, 467, 640, 1501, 1743, 1785, 101082 and 101449.	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.36(b)	8.224	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add paragraph 8.36b to OS10, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.36	8.225	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "particularly in areas deficient in accessible natural green space" to OS10, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph	8.226	The Council's recommended change as	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	8.37		set out in the RDUDP, to delete "some of" from paragraph 8.37, should be incorporated into the plan.	
		8.227	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 560, 1068, 101003, 101138 and 101366.	Agree.
137	OS11	8.240	The plan should be modified by deletion of "and will have regard to possible future demand in times of lesser uptake of allotment space." from OS11.	Agree. Modify Policy OS11 as recommended.
138	Paragraph 8.40a	8.241	The plan should be modified by referring to "Haringey Open Space and Sports Assessment" in paragraph 8.40a. Also there should be a brief explanation as to the meaning and derivation of the 1552 plots and time period of this estimate.	Agree. Replace paragraph 8.40a with the following: The Haringey Open Space and Sports Assessment carried out in 2003 looked at the current allotment provision in the borough. There is currently provision for an additional 179 allotment holders within the borough from vacant plots. It is estimated that there will be a demand for a further 444 plots arising from demographic change between 2003 and 2016, and 712 plots from areas underserved by existing provision. In addition between 75 and 150 plots could be taken up though improvements to site management and initiatives to promote demand. Therefore, there is an estimated requirement for up to 1552 additional plots or 31ha of allotment land depending upon the success of marketing initiatives and the extent to which additional households are able to take up/access existing supply. The Allotment Strategy, to be undertaken by the Council's Recreation Department, will explore opportunities for meeting this demand, especially in areas of deficiency."
137	OS11	8.242	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to replace the second	Agree. Replace the second paragraph of OS11 with: "Where allotments become surplus to demand, other open space uses will be considered first before surplus allotment

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			paragraph of OS11 with "Where allotments become surplus to demand, other open space uses will be considered first before surplus allotment sites are developed, particularly where there is a deficiency in open space provision in the area".	sites are developed, particularly where there is a deficiency in open space provision in the area".
		8.243	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 561, 1319, 1370, 1369, 1413, 1414, 1740, 1786, 1787, 100742, 101004, 101140, 101327, 101369 and 101451.	Agree.
139	OS12	8.260	The plan should be modified by deleting "non-recreational" in OS12	Agree. Modify Policy OS12 as recommended.
140	OS12	8.261	The plan should be modified to include a brief reference to OS14 and open space deficiency areas in the reasoned justification following OS12	Agree. Add a new paragraph to following paragraph 8.44 to read: "This policy should be applied in conjunction with Policy OS14 which deals with open space deficiency and new developments. Map 8.1 identifies areas of the borough deficient in public open space."
141	OS12 c)	8.262	The plan should be modified by including a careful explanation of how OS12(c) can be applied practically in Haringey. If this cannot be done in simple and precise language, OS12(c) should be deleted.	Agree. Add a sentence after the first sentence of paragraph 8.44 to read: "This can be achieved, for example, by the provision of, or improvements, to pedestrian crossings to access open space".
139	OS12 d)	8.263	The plan should be modified by deleting the recommended new criterion OS12(d).	Agree. Delete criterion (d) of Policy OS12 as recommended.
139	OS12 a)	8.264	The plan should be modified by replacing "field" with "playing field", "demand" with "requirements", and "the field" with "it" in OS12(a), and by deleting "or" from	Agree. Modify criterion (a) of Policy OS12 as recommended.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			OS12(b).	
139 and 142	OS12 (e) and paragraph 8.43	8.265	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add the new criterion OS12(e) and to replace the existing paragraph 8.43 with the new paragraph 8.43 as drafted in 2004.	Agree. Add a new criterion to Policy OS12 to read: "That there has been a robust assessment of existing and future needs of the community as outlined in PPG17 Planning for Open Space, Sport and Recreation under Assessments of Needs and Opportunities." Replace the existing paragraph 8.43 with the following: "The only statutory consultation role aimed at playing fields is the statutory role for consultation that Sport England have where there is an application affecting a playing field. This statutory consultation will help local communities who are at risk of losing these facilities in areas where they are in demand and utilised, or are capable of being utilised."
		8.266	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 241, 242, 990, 1160, 1273, 1741, 1788, 101081 and 101455.	Agree.
		8.269	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 41, 101007 and 101144.	Agree.
143	OS14	8.286	The plan should be modified by replacing OS14 with the following: "In areas of identified open space deficiency, proposals for major new development will be expected to: (a) provide an appropriate area of open space, or (b) improve the accessibility or quality of nearby open space."	Agree. Replace Policy OS14 as recommended.
144	Paragraph 8.49a	8.287	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add paragraphs	Agree. See also Inspector's recommendation 8.15.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			8.49a and 8.49b, should be incorporated into the plan, providing that the source of Map 8.1 – the Atkins Assessment - is mentioned, the minimum size of open space surveyed – 0.25ha – is mentioned, and excluded categories of open land are listed such as allotments, nature reserves, cemeteries and amenity space in housing areas are also mentioned. Additionally the Council should explain carefully why it chose the 0.25ha minimum size threshold as the way of assessing deficiency rather than say local parks. The Council should point out that there could be various parameters of open space deficiency, but for specific reasons the 0.25ha size threshold was selected.	Modify paragraph 8.49a to read: “The Open Space and Sports Assessment included a map at Figure 4.4 entitled “Areas Deficient in Public Open Space. This map has been reproduced in the Plan as Map 8.1. Only land greater than 0.25ha (0.7 acres) in size was surveyed, in accordance with the threshold recommended in the Mayor of London’s ‘Best Practice Guide to Preparing Open Space Strategies’. Open space deficiency areas have been derived by considering pedestrian access to any form of public open spaces (regional parks, metropolitan parks, district parks, local parks and linear open spaces). Open spaces where access is restricted such as private sports grounds and playing fields were excluded from the survey. It also excluded green amenity space which form part of housing areas or which represent ‘incidental’ open space, allotments, cemeteries and nature reserves. However, allotments cemeteries, nature reserves and green corridors have been mapped along with the open spaces to provide a comprehensive map of green spaces in the borough (see paragraph 4.7 of the Assessment).”
109	Paragraph 8.1	8.288	The plan should be modified by deleting or changing the sixth sentence in paragraph 8.1. [Because this sentence is confusing, it should be unravelled, or deleted.]	Agree. Delete the sixth sentence from paragraph 8.1.
		8.289	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1173, 1174, 1372, 1384, 1415, 10067, 100744, 101006 and 101143.	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.52	8.293	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the third sentence of paragraph 8.52, should be	Agree

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			incorporated into the plan.	
		8.294	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1075 and 1230.	Agree.
145	OS16 (d)	8.307	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP and in paragraph 2.13 of LBH/15, to change OS16(d), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Policy OS16(d) to read: "Ensuring that when unprotected trees are affected by development, a programme of tree replanting and replacement of at least equal amenity and ecological value and extent is approved by the Council; and" See also Inspector's recommendation 8.312.
146	OS16 (e)	8.308	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add the new criterion OS16(e), should be incorporated into the plan. This criterion should be explained in the reasoned justification by defining the biodiversity or ecological status and importance of ancient woodland.	Agree. Add a new paragraph to follow paragraph 8.56a to read: "Ancient woodlands are woodlands which have been in continuous woodland cover since at least the year 1600. Before this date, planting was uncommon, so a wood present in this time was likely to have developed naturally. The Woodland Trust identify ancient woodland as being important for historical, cultural and biodiversity reasons."
	Paragraph 8.56a	8.309	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add the new paragraph 8.56a, should be incorporated into the plan	Agree.
	Paragraph 8.55	8.310	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add the new sentence in paragraph 8.55 about the Tree Strategy, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
147	OS16 (f)	8.311	The plan should be modified by adding the following criterion OS16(f) "Ensuring that tree planting does not damage	Agree. Add new criterion OS16(f) as recommended.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			underground utilities infrastructure with encroaching root systems.”	
145	OS16 (d)	8.312	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to add to the recommended change to OS16(d) and refer to amenity and ecological value.	Agree. Modify Policy OS16(d) to read: “Ensuring that when unprotected trees are affected by development, a programme of tree replanting and replacement of at least equal amenity and ecological value and extent is approved by the Council; and” See also Inspector's recommendation 8.307.
		8.313	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1326, 1789, 1791, 100802, 101008, 101145, 101374, 101454, 101492 and 101495.	Agree.

CREATIVE LEISURE AND TOURISM

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		9.2	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1530.	Agree.
148, 149 and 150	CCT1	9.8	CCT1 should be modified by deletion of "Where facilities are proposed we will seek to ensure that" and its replacement by "Permission will be granted for creative, leisure and tourism facilities if: ". Criterion (d) should be deleted and replaced by "access is available by a choice of means of transport;". CCT1 should also be modified by deleting criteria (a), (c) and (e), unless the Council can modify these criteria so that they address land use matters.	Agree. Modify CCT1 as recommended. In addition, modify criterion b) so that it is consistent with the recommended wording. Therefore the second half of the policy reads: "Permission will be granted for creative, leisure and tourism facilities if: a) the proposal does not have an adverse impact on the amenities of adjoining occupiers ; and b) access is available by a choice of means of transport." In addition, move the text in criteria c) and e) into the reasoned justification – to paragraph 9.6 and 9.7 respectively.
151	Paragraph 9.9	9.9	The plan should be modified by replacing the last recommended sentence in paragraph 9.9 of the RDUDP with a clear explanation of the sequential approach based on paragraphs 2.44 and 3.13-3.19 of PPS6.	Agree. Replace the last sentence of paragraph 9.9 with the following: "A sequential approach should be applied in selecting appropriate sites for CCT facilities. The approach requires that locations in existing centres are considered first, followed by edge-of-centre locations and then out-of-centre locations. The sequential approach to site selection should be undertaken in accordance with paragraphs 2.44 and 3.13-3.19 of PPS6 'Planning for Town Centres'."
		9.10	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 765.	Agree.
152	CCT3 (a)	9.15	The plan should be modified by deletion of "and there are no similar uses within 400m of the proposed development."	Agree. The impact of a concentration of social clubs is dealt with criteria b) and c). Delete "and there are no similar uses within 400m of the proposed development" from CCT3 (a).
	Paragraph 9.13	9.16	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "and other" to	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			paragraph 9.13, should be incorporated into the plan.	
		9.17	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 10005 and 100855.	Agree.
153	CCT4 (c)	9.22	The plan should be modified by deletion of criterion (c) from CCT4, and its replacement by "(c) the proposal does not have an adverse impact on the amenity of nearby residential properties or other uses."	Agree. Modify CCT4 criterion (c) as recommended.
153 and 154	CCT4 and paragraph 9.15	9.23	The plan should be modified by ensuring that CCT4 and the reasoned justification apply to hotels, boarding houses and guest houses – not just hotels.	<p>Agree. Modify the first sentence of Policy CCT4 to read: "Applications for hotels, boarding houses and guest houses will be permitted provided that:"</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 9.15 to read: "Hotels, boarding houses and guest houses create employment and economic activity both directly and indirectly."</p> <p>Modify the third sentence to read: "The preferred location for hotels, boarding houses and guest houses is in or close to town centres, but care must be taken to ensure that they are at least well-served by public transport."</p> <p>Modify the fourth sentence to read: "Proposals should not have an adverse impact on the environment by reason of noise, disturbance, traffic generation, exacerbation of parking problems, or detract from the character of the area."</p>

COMMUNITY WELLBEING

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		10.2	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 975.	Agree.
	Paragraph 10.3 and CW1	10.14	The Council's recommended changes as set out in the RDUDP, to add a reference to "walking distance" to the first Guiding Principle, and to add a new criterion (ci) to policy CW1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Paragraph 10.4	10.15	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "...and to improve existing facilities." to paragraph 10.4, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
17, 155 and 156	CW1, paragraphs 10.3 and 10.4	10.16	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to add: a new paragraph 10.2A, a new Guiding Principle in 10.3, "and health" to the Key Objective in paragraph 10.4, "health" to the CW1 title and policy, and a new Community Well Being objective. The plan should be modified by similar relevant changes to the Community Well Being objective and policy in Part I Strategic Policies.	<p>Agree. Add a new paragraph 10.2a to read: "Government guidance requires planning authorities to consider the relationship of planning policies and proposals to social needs and problems, including their likely impact on different groups in the population, such as ethnic minorities, religious groups, elderly and disabled people, women, single parent families, students and disadvantaged people living in deprived areas. Therefore, the plan will make provision for land, schools, higher education facilities, health facilities, places of worship and other community facilities."</p> <p>Add a new Guiding Principle in paragraph 10.3 to read: "Haringey recognises the contribution from community and voluntary organisations to health delivery in the local area."</p> <p>Modify the Key Objective in paragraph 10.4 to read: To increase the overall stock of good quality community <u>and</u></p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p><u>health</u> facilities in Haringey, especially in areas of shortage, and to improve existing facilities.</p> <p>Modify Policy CW1 to read: CW1: NEW COMMUNITY/<u>HEALTH</u> FACILITIES</p> <p>Proposals for the development of new community/<u>health</u> facilities, or changes of use to community/<u>health</u> facilities, will <u>be</u> considered if:....</p> <p>Add a new Key Objective to paragraph 10.4 to read: "To ensure that major new developments seek to promote public health and assess health impacts."</p> <p>Modify Objective 18 in Part I Strategic Policies accordingly.</p>
155	Paragraph 10.3	10.17	The plan should be modified by adding a third bullet point to Guiding Principles in paragraph 10.3 which says "Haringey will assist the voluntary and community sector by addressing their need for accessible and affordable accommodation. Such venues should be provided after consultation with voluntary and community organisations."	Agree. Add two sentences to the new Guiding Principle in paragraph 10.3 to read: "Haringey recognises the contribution from community and voluntary organisations to health delivery in the local area. Haringey will assist the voluntary and community sector by addressing their need for accessible and affordable accommodation. Such venues should be provided after consultation with voluntary and community organisations."
			No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 134, 100660, 100746, 100747, 100931, 100932, 101009, 101147 and 101329.	Agree.
	Paragraph 10.1	10.26	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add "higher education facilities" to paragraph 10.1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Policy CW1	10.27	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add CW1(ci), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
156	Policy CW1	10.28	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004 to add "be" between "will" and "considered".	Agree. Modify Policy CW1 as recommended.
		10.29	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 766, 991, 1535 and 100748.	Agree.
157	Policy CW2	10.37	The plan should be modified by deletion of CW2 and a brief addition to the "Introduction" of Chapter 10 which includes a summary of paragraph 10.10 and 10.11.	Agree. Policy CW2 is unnecessary and is covered by Policy UD10. Paragraphs 10.10 and 10.11 are modified and added to the end of paragraph 10.2, to read: "Where development increases the demand for community facilities, such as schools, childcare and healthcare, the Council will seek to ensure that local facilities and services are able to absorb the additional demand and it will negotiate, where appropriate, a Section 106 agreement to secure the provision of additional facilities and services (see Policy UD10)."
		10.38	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 564, 668, 1416, 101169, 101170, 101172 and 101433.	Agree.
		10.41	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 662, 1107 and 101694.	Agree.

CONSERVATION

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		11.7	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the sub-heading in the chapter title to "Conserving the Historic Environment", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		11.8	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 401, 635, 1460, 1479, 1709 and 101197.	Agree.
162	CSV1C and paragraph 11.9j	11.22	The Council's recommended change about locally listed buildings and policy CSV1C, as set out in the delegated authority note dated 26/7/05, should be incorporated into the plan.	<p>Agree. Modify Policy CSV1C to read: "LOCALLY LISTED BUILDINGS AND DESIGNATED SITES OF INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE INTEREST The Council will maintain a local list of buildings of architectural or historical interest, including Designated Sites of Industrial Heritage, with a view to giving as much attention as possible to buildings and features worthy of preservation."</p> <p>Modify paragraph 11.9j to read: Buildings of local interest in Haringey often play a crucial role in anchoring local visual and historical identity. Locally listed buildings may also act as a significant focus for encouraging urban vitality. The Council attaches special importance to their protection. Buildings on the local list are not subject to the same statutory protection given to those selected by the Secretary of State."</p> <p>Add a new paragraph 11.9k to read: "However, the Council will utilise its planning powers to ensure that wherever possible the special character of such buildings is protected and enhanced. In the case of locally</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>listed buildings, and in other appropriate cases, the Council may seek Article 4 Directions to remove permitted development rights.”</p> <p>Add a new paragraph 11.9l to read: “The Council will promote the evaluation, conservation and interpretation of the borough’s Designated Sites of Industrial Heritage Interest. The Council has consulted the Greater London Industrial Archaeological Society and details of these areas and buildings concerned are given in Table 11.1. Further guidance is provided in the Conservation and Archaeology SPG2.”</p> <p>Delete paragraph 11.9d.</p>
163	Paragraph 11.9h	11.23	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add a new paragraph 11.9h, with “the conservation area and” deleted, should be incorporated into the plan	Agree. Modify paragraph 11.9h by splitting into two sentences, with the second sentence to read: “All these proposals have an impact on visual amenity and have the potential to have an adverse impact on the appearance of the setting of a listed building.
159	CSV1A	11.24	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace CSV1 with CSV1A, CSV1B and CSV1C – with CSV1A(d) deleted, and “or Industrial Heritage Areas” also deleted from CSV1A, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Policy CSV1A as recommended. Note: paragraph 11.9d is deleted in accordance with Inspector’s recommendation 11.22.
	Table 11.1	11.25	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add the “Former Fire Station, Conway Road” to Table 11.1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		11.26	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 455, 461, 544,	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			805, 1007, 1311, 1373, 1382 and 1552.	
159	Paragraph 11.9c	11.30	The plan should be modified by changing recommended paragraph 11.9c to read "In consultation with residents and the appropriate Conservation Area Advisory Committee, the Council....".	Agree. Modify paragraph 11.9c as recommended.
201	Table 11.1	11.31	The plan should be modified by deletion of Hornsey Gas Works and the boundary wall at the rear of Wood Green Common from Table 11.1.	Agree. Delete "Hornsey Gas Works" and the "Boundary Wall at the rear of Wood Green Common" from Table 11.1. See Document 3, Table 11.1.
160	CSV1B	11.34	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to change the first sentence of CSV1B to "There is a presumption in favour of the preservation of listed buildings."	Agree. Modify Policy CSV1B as recommended.
		11.35	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 101334.	Agree.
162	CSV1C	11.39	The plan should be modified by the addition to the reasoned justification following CSV1C, of the second sentence of paragraph 11.9k the Council recommended for addition in 2004.	Agree. Add a new sentence to read: "In the case of locally listed buildings, and in other appropriate cases, the Council may seek Article 4 Directions to remove permitted development rights." See also Inspector's recommendation 11.22.
		11.40	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100684 and 100749.	Agree.
	CSV2	11.53	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as set out in 2004, to add a new	Agree. No modification required (see recommendation 11.57).

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			policy CSV1C: LOCALLY LISTED BUILDINGS. The plan should also be modified by the deletion of "Locally Listed Buildings" from CSV2.	
	CSV2	11.54	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change CSV2 (f), should be incorporated into the plan, but after the recommendation in 11.59 has been implemented.	Agree.
	CSV2	11.55	The Council's recommended change, as set out in the RDUDP, to change CSV2(d), should be incorporated into the plan, but after the recommendation in 11.59 has been implemented.	Agree.
	CSV2	11.56	The Council's recommended change, as set out in the RDUDP, to replace "preserve and enhance" with "preserve or enhance" in CSV2(a), should be incorporated into the plan, but after the recommendation in 11.59 has been implemented.	Agree.
164	CSV2 and paragraph 11.11	11.57	The plan should be modified by removing CSV2(h) and adding a suitable addition to the reasoned justification based on CSV2(h). The plan should also be modified by deletion CSV2(g) from both policies dealing with "Alterations and Extensions".	Agree. Delete criteria g) and h) from Policy CSV2. Add a sentence at the beginning of paragraph 11.12 to read: "Alterations and extensions should have regard to the guidance set out in Conservation and Archaeology SPG2."
	CSV2	11.58	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete "important" from CSV2(b), should be incorporated into the plan, but after the recommendation in paragraph 11.59 has been implemented.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
164	CSV2	11.59	The plan should be modified by splitting CSV2: ALTERATIONS AND EXTENSIONS into a policy addressing this subject for listed buildings, and another policy addressing the same subject for conservation areas.	Agree. Split Policy CSV2 into two policies dealing with alterations and extensions to listed buildings (Policy CSV2) and alterations and extensions to conservation areas (Policy CSV2A). Paragraph 11.11 follows Policy CSV2 and paragraph 11.12 as modified follows CSV2A.
159, 164 and 165	CSV1A, CSV2 and CSV3.	11.60	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended changes as set out in the delegated authority note dated 26/7/05, dealing with "Designated Sites of Industrial Heritage Interest".	Agree. Delete "or Industrial Heritage Areas" from Policy CSV1A. Delete "or Areas of Industrial Heritage" from Policy CSV2. Delete "or Area of Industrial Heritage" from criteria a) and b) of Policy CSV2. Delete "Areas of Industrial Heritage Interest," from sub-heading of Policy CSV3. Delete "or Areas of Industrial Heritage" from Policy CSV3.
		11.61	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 227, 1456, 1478, 2350, 2351, 100774, 101010, 101456, 101493 and 101496.	Agree.
165	CSV3	11.72	The plan should be modified by splitting CSV3 into two policies – one dealing with demolition of listed buildings and the other dealing with demolition in conservation areas. In both new policies, there should be no reference to "Locally Listed Buildings" or "Areas of Industrial Heritage Interest".	Agree. Split Policy CSV3 into two policies dealing with the demolition of listed buildings (Policy CSV3) and the demolition in conservation areas (Policy CSV3A).
165	CSV3	11.73	The plan should be modified by ensuring that reference to internal demolition in the modified demolition of listed buildings policy, follows the requirements of s.7 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.	Agree. Modify the new Policy CSV3A 'Demolition of Listed Buildings' to read: "The Council will protect Haringey's listed buildings by refusing applications for their demolition. In the case of internal demolition work, the Council will refuse applications which harm the architectural and historical integrity and detailing of a listed building's interior."
	CSV3	11.74	The Council's recommended changes as	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			set out in the RDUDP, concerning the instances where demolition or partial demolition could be allowed for listed buildings and buildings in conservation areas, should be incorporated into both new [CSV3] policies.	
162	Paragraph 11.14	11.75	The plan should be modified by adding two new sentences to the reasoned justification following CSV1C: "The Council has consulted Greater London Industrial Archaeological Society on the list of industrial heritage sites in the borough. The Archaeological Society has reviewed the heritage sites and the updated information is found in Table 11.1."	Agree. The wording has been incorporated into the modified paragraph 11.9I (see Inspector's recommendation 11.22).
		11.76	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 458, 1424, 100843, 100886, 101011, 101148 and 101150.	Agree.
202	CSV4	11.79	The plan should be modified by the addition of a list of the areas of archaeological importance; this list could be in a schedule or in the reasoned justification as a table.	Agree. Add a list of areas of archaeological importance as Table 11.3. See Document 3, Table 11.3
166	CSV4	11.80	The plan should be modified by changing the wording of CSV4 such that it starts "Planning permission will only be granted for development that would adversely affect areas of archaeological importance if the following criteria are met: (a) Applications...".	Agree. Modify Policy CSV4 as recommended.
167	CSV4	11.81	The plan should be modified by the addition	Agree. Add a paragraph 11.16a to read:

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			of details of how areas of archaeological importance were and will be identified and where the detailed records are kept; this should be in the reasoned justification.	“The history of the Borough indicates that there is considerable likelihood that archaeological remains will be found in certain parts of the borough. These areas are identified in Table 11.3 and on the Proposals Map as Areas of Archaeological Importance. Haringey’s archaeological heritage has the potential to be an educational, recreational and tourist resource. The Council will therefore promote the conservation, protection and enhancement of archaeological sites and their presentation to the public. Detailed records of these sites are maintained by the English Heritage Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service (Greater London Sites and Monuments Record). The Council will consult with, and be guided by, the Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service on the archaeological implications of development proposals, especially within the Areas of Archaeological Importance and in the vicinity of known find locations.”
	CSV4	11.82	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change CSV4(a) and (b), should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
159, 161 and 168	CSV5	11.85	The plan should be modified by deletion of CSV5; its main messages – first, in conservation areas planning applications should include detailed plans and drawings, and second, for development affecting the setting of a listed building, the listed building consent should provide full information - should follow the reasoned justification for CSV1A and CSV1B.	Agree. Delete Policy CSV5 and paragraphs 11.19 and 11.20. Add sentences to the end of paragraph 11.9b to read: “As such, conservation areas planning applications should include detailed plans and drawings. Outline planning applications will not be accepted.” Add a sentence to before the last sentence of paragraph 11.9f to read: “As such, for development affecting the setting of a listed building, an application for listed building consent should provide full information.”

IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING AND REVIEW

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
170	Paragraph 12.2	12.2	The plan should be modified such that the explanation of "Sustainable Development" in paragraph 12.2 is deleted and replaced by "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs".	Agree. Modify paragraph 12.2 as recommended.
172	IMR1	12.7	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR1. The material in IMR1 could be included in the reasoned justification of Chapter 12, if the Council considers there is a need to mention planning conditions as a way of helping to control development.	Agree. Delete Policy IMR1. Use text from Policy IMR1 for a new paragraph 12.8a.
	Paragraph 12.8	12.8	If the Council considers there is justification in mentioning planning conditions in Chapter 12 in the reasoned justification, the recommended change to paragraph 12.8, as set out in the RDUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		12.9	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1539.	Agree.
173	IMR2	12.15	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR2.	Agree. Delete Policy IMR2. Use the text from Policy IMR2 for new sentences in paragraph 12.10.
	Paragraph 12.11	12.16	If the Council decides to refer in the HUDP to its intentions to carry out work, the recommended change to paragraph 12.11 as set out in the RDUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		12.17	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 309 and 1680.	Agree.
	Paragraph 12.13	12.22	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change paragraph	Agree.

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			12.13, should be incorporated into the plan.	
		12.23	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 465, 1231 and 1816.	Agree.
174	IMR4	12.30	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR4. The content of IMR4 should be used in the reasoned justification in Chapter 12.	Agree. Delete Policy IMR4. Use the text in Policy IMR4 for a new paragraph 12.15a.
175	Paragraph 12.16	12.31	The plan should be modified by adding, "This work may also lead to action being taken to remedy failures to meet policies." at the end of paragraph 12.16.	Agree. Modify paragraph 12.16 as recommended.
	IMR4 (d)	12.32	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR4 (d), such that its material should not be used in the reasoned justification.	Agree.
		12.33	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 694, 1268 and 1793.	Agree.
176	IMR5	12.38	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR5. The material in IMR5 could be used in the reasoned justification.	Agree. Delete Policy IMR5. Use the text as modified in Policy IMR5 for a new paragraph 12.18a.
	IMR5 (c)	12.39	The plan should be modified by deletion of IMR5(c), such that it could not be used as part of the reasoned justification.	Agree.
		12.40	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1538 and 1588.	Agree.

SCHEDULES

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
169	Schedule 1	13.12	The plan should be modified to explain why Schedule 1 was prepared, how it was prepared, when it was prepared, the time period for development and what criteria were used when including sites within it.	Agree. Provide an explanation of Schedule 1. This follows a brief explanation of the other schedules (see Document 3, Schedules). Add a cross-reference In Chapter 12 to Schedule 1 to read: "The Plan contains site specific proposals for sites which are known to have development potential. These sites are listed in Schedule 1."
203	Schedules	13.13	The plan should be modified to include the addition of brief explanations of all other unexplained schedules in the HUDP. Chapter 12 seems a reasonable location for these explanations.	Partially agree. Provide a description of the schedules, but located at the beginning of the Schedules section. See Document 3, Schedules.
	SSP34	13.14	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add SSP 34 Texaco Garage to Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
204	SSP9 and SSP12	13.15	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add two updates to Schedule 1 about adopted planning briefs for SSP 9 and SSP12, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Modify Schedule 1 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
		13.16	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 665, 982, 983, 984, 2275, 2366, 100822, 101012 and 101152.	Agree.
	SSP 29 and SSP 32	13.22	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 29 and SSP 32 from Schedule 1, should be	Agree

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			incorporated into the plan.	
	SSP39	13.23	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to include the Wood Green Civic Centre as a mixed use site in Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree
	SSP 28 and SSP30	13.24	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 28 and SSP 30 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	Schedule 1	13.27	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to replace "Potential" with "Indicative" in the heading of the 7 th column of Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
205	SSP 2	13.28	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change as agreed in 2004, to change the 200 dwellings to 260 dwellings for SSP 2, and mentioning the 2005 planning permission	Agree. Insert modified figure into Table 4.1. See Document 3, Table 4.1
206	SSP4	13.33	The plan should be modified by bringing the "Progress" for SSP 4 section up-to-date, in respect of Haringey Heartlands Development Framework. This modification for SSP 4 should be applied to all SSPs – they should all receive a factual update.	Agree. Modify Schedule 1 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
		13.34	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 519, 702 and 952.	Agree.
207	SSP5	13.38	Inspector note: in the inquiry, the site area	Agree. The correct size for SSP5 is 6.20ha. See Document

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			of Friern Barnet was inconsistent. LB Barnet said it was 6.39ha, SSP 5 gives 6.39ha then 5.22ha, the Council say it is 6.20ha as indicated in Schedule 3. These inconsistencies need to be resolved.	3, Schedule 1.
208	SSP 40	13.44 and 13.130	The plan should be modified by deletion of SSP 40.	Agree. Delete SSP 40. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
	SSP 5	13.45	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete "and/or a recycling centre or a traveller's site" from SSP 5, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP5, DEA6	13.46	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete MOL on the Proposals Map from SSP 5 and DEA6, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		13.47	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 119, 135 and 740.	Agree.
209	SSP 6	13.52	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to alter SSP 6 "Progress" to read "Planning permission granted December 2004 for health uses".	Agree. Modify SSP 6 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
		13.53	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 979, 1715 and 2367.	Agree.
		13.56	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1481 and 1458.	Agree.
210	SSP 9	13.60	The plan should be modified such that the term "mixed use" in SSP 9 is very briefly explained, using the details contained in	Agree. Modify SSP9 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			Section 5 of the December 2004 Planning Brief.	
211	SSP 9	13.61	The plan should be modified by changing SSP 9 "Progress" to say "Planning Brief adopted December 2004"	Agree. Modify SSP 9 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
212	SSP 12	13.63	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to decrease the number of dwellings for SSP 12. The plan should also be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to change the name of SSP 12.	Agree. Modify the SSP 12 under the 'Indicative Number of Dwellings' heading to read "50" and change the name and address of the site to read: "Former Petrol Station Site, 308 West Green Road N15". See Document 3, Table 4.1 and Schedule 1.
	SSP 13	13.65	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the name of SSP 13 to "White Hart Lane Stadium" and include "residential and possibly a hotel" in the "Proposal" column, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
213	SSP 14	13.72	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in February 2005, to reduce the "Indicative number of dwellings" from 500 to 200.	Agree. Modify SSP 14 as recommended. See Document 3, Table 4.1
	SSP 14	13.73	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to add to SSP 14 "Progress" - "A planning brief will be prepared", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
214	SSP14	13.74	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended	Agree. Modify the Plan at SSP 14 under the heading 'Proposals' to read: "Comprehensive mixed use scheme

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			change in February 2005, to the SSP 14 "Proposals" with "If site becomes surplus to health requirements mixed use will be considered – mix to include predominantly community uses with an element of housing".	including residential, health facilities and a school. If the site becomes surplus to health requirements mixed use will be considered – mix to include predominantly community uses with an element of housing". See Document 3, Schedule 1.
215	SSP 14	13.75	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended changes in February 2005 to amend the wording of the "Existing Use" column	Agree. Modify the Plan at SSP 14 under the 'Existing Use' column to read: "Hospital site owned by Barnet, Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust. The intention is to redevelop the site, once health related needs for the site have been established, but it is unclear how much of the site will be available for use other than health facilities." See Document 3, Schedule 1.
		13.76	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 706, 742, 951, 1185, 1306, 1418, 1564 and 1811.	Agree.
	SSP 16	13.78	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 16 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		13.81	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 1565 and 1717.	Agree.
	SSP 21	13.84	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the SSP 21 "Proposal" to "Employment led mixed use development, including housing", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		13.85	No modification should be made to the plan	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			in response to objection 100908.	
22	AC2	13.89	The plan should be modified by adding ".....Annex C of PPG2. Where possible. environmental improvements should be made to the Tottenham International Green Belt and MOL." to the end of AC2. If the Council does consider that Tottenham International is a "Major developed site in the Green Belt" in accord with PPG2 Annex C, the site should be identified as such in the Open Space chapter in the reasoned justification following OS1A – the plan should be modified to take this into account.	Agree. Modify the last paragraph of the policy AC2 to read: "Development within the major developed area within the Green Belt to the north of Markfield Park (as identified on the proposals map) should comply with annex C of PPG2. Where possible, environmental improvements should be made to the Tottenham International Green Belt and MOL".
		13.90	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 950.	Agree.
	SSP 23	13.93	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change SSP 23 "Progress" to say "Planning brief adopted January 2004", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
216	SSP 23	13.94	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change SSP 23 "Indicative number of dwelling units" from "77" to "250 including Seven Sisters and Westerfield Road", should be incorporated into the plan. In addition, the plan should be modified by including a reference to SSP15 in the SSP 23 "Proposal".	Agree. Modify SSP 23 as recommended. See Document 3, Table 4.1 and Schedule 1.
		13.96	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 981.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	SSP 25	13.98	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 25, should be incorporated into the plan, providing that the Council is convinced deletion is justified.	Agree. The site is relatively small (0.06 ha) and offers limited potential for development. There are no specific planning issues or proposals to consider.
	SSP 27	13.100	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 27 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP 28	13.102	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 28 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP 29	13.104	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 29 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP 30	13.106	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 30 from Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP 31	13.110	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change SSP 31 "Progress" to read "Planning permission approved 2003/1214", should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
		13.111	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 447 and 1079.	Agree.
	SSP 32	13.113	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete SSP 32; and include Map Change 32, should also be	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			incorporated into the plan.	
		13.115	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objection 1080.	Agree.
217	SSP 35 and paragraph 3.30e	13.117	The plan should be modified by a careful justification of SSP 35 in paragraph 3.30(e) of the HUDP.	The site is not required as a recycling depot. Therefore modify Schedule 1 and the Proposals Map by deleting SSP35 (see Document 3, Schedule 1 and Map Change 11).
	SSP 36	13.119	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, deletion of DEA 10 – Lawrence Road and introduction of the mixed use proposal SSP 36 to Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
218	SSP 37	13.121	The plan should be modified such that the correct size of SSP 37 is given in Schedule 1.	Agree. Modify Schedule 1 so that the correct size for SSP37 is 0.54 ha. See Document 3, Schedule 1
	SSP 37 and DEA 15	13.122	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to delete DEA 15 from Schedule 3 and add SSP 37 to Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
	SSP 38	13.125	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to introduce SSP 38 into Schedule 1, should be incorporated into the plan	Agree.
219	Schedule 1	13.126	The plan should be modified by adding Proposals Map references to Schedule 1 of all SSPs.	Agree. Modify Schedule 1 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 1.
220	SSP 39	13.128	The plan should be modified by stating that a planning brief is under preparation and will be adopted in 2006. If this cannot be stated, SSP 39 should be deleted.	Agree. Modify SSP 39 under the heading 'Progress' to read: "Planning Brief is being prepared and it is expected that that it will be adopted by the end of 2006." See Document 3, Schedule 1.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	DEA 2	13.155	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the Schedule 3 DEA 2 allocation from Industrial Location to Employment Location, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
80	Paragraph 5.19	13.156	The plan should be modified by adding a sentence in paragraph 5.19 which explains that some DEA sites, which are proposed as Employment Locations, may not be in any employment use.	Partially agree. This recommendation relates to DEA6 Friern Barnet Sewage Works. Therefore, modify the first sentence in paragraph 5.19 to read: "The Employment Location DEAs contain land and buildings which are predominately used for commercial or business activities, with the exception of the Friern Barnet Sewage Works (DEA6)."
	DEAs 6 and 8	13.157	The Council's recommended change as set out in the RDUDP, to change the Schedule 3 DEA 6 and DEA 8 allocations from Industrial Location to Employment Location, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
221	DEA 22	13.158	The plan should be modified by deletion of the Lynx Express Depot site – DEA 22 in Schedule 3.	Agree. Delete DEA 22. See Document 3, Schedule 1 and Map Change 4.
222	DEA 12	13.159	The plan should be modified by re-designating DEA 12 from Industrial Location to Regeneration Area, as recommended in February 2005.	Agree. Modify Schedule 3 as recommended. See Document 3, Schedule 3.
		13.160	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 12, 13, 539, 1288, 1484 and 100864.	Agree.
		13.162	No modification should be made to the plan in response this objection [100656].	Agree.
		13.164	No modification should be made to the plan	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
			in response this objection [101370].	
223	Schedule 9	13.177	The Council's recommended change, to propose land at Hornsey Waterworks as MOL under item 6a in Schedule 9 and in the delegated authority decision dated 16/8/05, should not be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Delete Hornsey Waterworks (Site 6a) from Schedule 9. See Document 3, Schedule 9 and Map Change 9)
		13.179	No modification should be made to the plan in response this objection [2523]	Agree.
		13.181	No modification should be made to the plan in response this objection [101033]	Agree.
		13.183	No modification should be made to the plan in response this objection [101083]	Agree.
		13.185	No modification should be made to the plan in response this objection [2524]	Agree.

GLOSSARY

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Opportunity Areas	14.5	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to rephrase the first bullet point in "Opportunity Areas".	Agree.
225	Large (or major) developments	14.6	The plan should be modified by incorporating the Council's recommended change in 2004, to delete the first FDUDP definition of "large (or major) developments" and replace it as set out in the RDUDP. This definition on page 215 rather than the "major (or large) developments on page 216 should be used.	Agree. Delete the definition "Major (or Large) Developments" on page 216.
		14.7	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100678, 100751, 100752, 100754, 101013, 101154, 101375, 101391, 101389, 101458, 101494 and 101497.	Agree.

CHANGES TO THE PROPOSALS MAP

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Map Change 3	15.2	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 3 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 9	15.4	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 9 is incorporated into the Proposals Map	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 13	15.6	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 13 is incorporated into the Proposals Map	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 18	15.8	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 18 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 19	15.10	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 19 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 21	15.12	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 21 is incorporated into the Proposals Map	The boundaries will be clearly shown on the final Proposals Map.
	Map Change 24	15.15	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 101349, 101351, 101353, 101358, 101360, 101362 and 101364.	Agree.
	Map Change 26	15.18	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 101365 and 101367.	Agree.
	Map Change 27	15.20	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 100667 and 101368.	Agree. Note that Hornsey Water Works will not be designated as Metropolitan Open Land.
	Map Change 28	15.22	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 28 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	Agree.

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Map Change 29	15.25	No modification should be made to the plan in response to objections 101373 and 101376.	Note that Site Specific Proposal (SSP35) is proposed for deletion.
	Map Change 33	15.27	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 33 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	Agree.
	Map Change 35	15.29	The plan should be modified by ensuring that the revision of Map Change 35 is incorporated into the Proposals Map.	Agree.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO THE UDP

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
51 and 52	ENV5	16.15	The Council should include a new policy on noise in the Environment chapter in the way suggested in paragraph 16.3.	<p>Agree. Add a new policy, to accord with the London Plan and PPG24 Planning and Noise to read:</p> <p>ENV5M NOISE POLLUTION</p> <p>“The Council will ensure that new noise sensitive development is located away from existing, or planned sources of noise pollution. Potentially noisy developments should only be located in areas where ambient noise levels are already high and where measures are proposed to mitigate its impact.”</p> <p>Reasoned justification to read:</p> <p>“Noise pollution has a major effect on amenity and health and therefore the quality of life in general. Its effect can be minimised by separating new noise sensitive development from major noise sources and by taking measures to reduce any impact. The Council will support new technologies and encourage sensitive design and construction, for example by positioning buildings and landscaping as noise barriers. Noise sensitive development includes housing, schools, hospitals and some commercial activities.</p> <p>In assessing planning applications the Council will have regard to PPG24 ‘Planning and Noise’ and the noise levels set out in Annex 1. Where new noise-sensitive development is proposed in areas already exposed to high ambient noise levels, the Council may require the submission of an acoustic report to comply with PPG 24. Mitigation measures will be secured by planning conditions or planning</p>

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
				<p>obligations.”</p> <p>As a consequence modify title of Policy ENV5 to read: “AIR, WATER AND LIGHT POLLUTION”</p> <p>Delete third sentence of paragraph 3.16.</p> <p>Modify third sentence of paragraph 3.17 to read: “Separating uses from each other can also help to reduce pollution, for example by making sure that uses that emit pollution are not located near to schools, hospitals of housing.”</p>
	Part 1, paragraph 3.1	16.16	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 3.1 of Part I should be incorporated into the plan	Agree
224	Schedules	16.17	The HUDP Schedules should be modified such that a consistent approach to the use of post codes for addresses is adopted.	Agree. Ensure a consistent approach to use of part postcodes in the Schedules. See Document 3, Schedules.
	Paragraph 2.2	16.18	The Council's recommended change to paragraph 2.2 of Chapter 2 should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree.
226	References	16.19	The Council's recommended change to add the “Streets for All” document to the References section in the HUDP, should be incorporated into the plan.	Agree. Add the “Streets for All” document to the References section of the Plan.
	AC6, ENV4 and CSV2(h)	16.20	HUDP policies AC6, ENV4 and CSV2(h) should be modified to avoid delegating decision making on planning applications to policies and criteria in documents outside the plan.	Agree. Delete AC6 (see Inspector's recommendation 1.77). Modify ENV4 (see Inspector's recommendation 3.58) Delete CSV2(h) (see Inspector's recommendation 11.57)

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
		16.21	No modifications to the plan should be made in relation to objections 417, 474, 549, 768, 646, 1500, 1393, 1459 and 1827.	Agree.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSALS MAP

REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	Proposals Map	17.4	The Proposals Map should be modified to show the correct boundary for Tottenham International.	No modification required. The Council amended the Proposals Map at the RDUDP stage (Map Change No 2) to align the boundary with the Draft Tottenham International Development Framework.
	Proposals Map	17.5	The Proposals Map should be modified by the deletion of the Local Nature Reserve symbol from the Paddock.	Agree. (See Inspector's recommendation 8.13) See Document 3, Map Change 5.
	SSP Maps SSP13	17.8	The SSP13 boundary should be modified as shown on Map Change No 5 in the RDUDP.	Agree.
	Proposals Map	17.9	No modification should be made to the plan in relation to objection 535.	Agree.

DR D ROBINS' REPORT – DEVELOPMENT AND URBAN DESIGN AND OPEN SPACE CHAPTERS

MOD REF	UDP POLICY / PARAGRAPH	IR PARA	INSPECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND REASONS
	UD7	2.4	That no additions be made to the road hierarchy in the UDP text or on the Proposals Map.	Agree.
	OS2A	3.5	That Highgate Bowl be not designated SLOL.	Agree.